arXiv:1101.1288v1 [math.GR] 6 Jan 2011

The Hecke algebra
# of a Frobenius P-category

Lluis Puig

CNRS, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu
6 Av Bizet, 94340 Joinville-le-Pont, France
puig@math.jussieu.fr

1. Introduction

1.1. Let p be a prime number and P a finite p-group; as usual, denote by $\mathcal{F}_P$ the *Frobenius category* of P [4, I1], namely the category where the objects are the subgroups of P and the morphisms are the group homomorphisms between them induced by the inner automorphisms of P. Recall that a *divisible P-category* $\mathcal{F}$ [4, 2.3] is a subcategory of the *category of finite groups* $\mathfrak{Gr}$ such that it contains $\mathcal{F}_P$ and has the same objects, that all the morphisms are injective group homomorphisms and that, for any subgroup Q of P, the category $\mathcal{F}_{|Q}$ of *$\mathcal{F}$-morphisms to Q* is a *full* subcategory of $\mathfrak{Gr}_{|Q}$ [4, 1.7].

1.2. More precisely, denoting by $\mathcal{F}(Q)$ the group of $\mathcal{F}$-automorphisms of any subgroup Q of P, recall that then $\mathcal{F}$ is a *Frobenius P-category* [4, Proposition 2.11] if $\mathcal{F}_P(P)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathcal{F}(P)$ and if any $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\varphi : Q \to P$ fulfilling

$$\zeta\Big(C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\Big) = C_P\big((\zeta\circ\varphi)(Q)\big) \qquad 1.2.1$$

for any $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\zeta : \varphi(Q)\cdot C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \to P$, can be extended to an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism from the converse image in P of the intersection $\mathcal{F}_P(Q)\cap {}^{\varphi^*}\mathcal{F}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$, where we denote by $\varphi^* : \varphi(Q) \cong Q$ the inverse of the isomorphism induced by φ, and by ${}^{\varphi^*}\mathcal{F}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ the corresponding image of $\mathcal{F}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(Q)$.

1.3. On the one hand, in a Frobenius P-category $\mathcal{F}$ still holds a general form for the *Alperin Fusion Theorem* which, in some sense, provides a “decomposition” of the $\mathcal{F}$-morphisms [4, Corollary 5.14]. On the other hand, restricting ourselves to the *full* subcategory $\mathcal{F}^{^{\rm sc}}$ over the subgroups Q of P fulfilling $C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \subset \varphi(Q)$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(P,Q)$, in the quotient category $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{^{\rm sc}}$ of $\mathcal{F}^{^{\rm sc}}$ by the inner automorphisms of the objects the morphisms admit a “canonical decomposition” in terms of suitable subsets $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{^{\rm sc}}(Q,R)_{\tilde\iota_R^P}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{^{\rm sc}}$-morphisms as it follows from [4, Proposition 6.7]. An old aim we had was to find a common framework where we could formulate both facts.

1.4. More recently, we have proved that the Frobenius P-categories are just an *avatar* of the *basic $P\times P$-sets* [4, 21.4] — namely the finite nonempty $P\times P$-sets Ω such that $\{1\}\times P$ acts *freely* on Ω, we have

$$\Omega^\circ \cong \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad |\Omega|/|P| \not\equiv 0 \bmod p \qquad 1.4.1$$

where we denote by Ω° the $P\times P$-set obtained exchanging both factors and, for any subgroup Q of P and any group homomorphism $\varphi\colon Q\to P$ such that Ω contains a $P\times P$-subset isomorphic to $(P\times P)/\Delta_\varphi(Q)$, we have a $Q\times P$-isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\varphi\times \mathrm{id}_P}(\Omega)\cong \mathrm{Res}_{\iota_Q^P\times \mathrm{id}_P}(\Omega) \qquad 1.4.2,$$

where we set $\Delta_\varphi(Q)=\{(\varphi(u),u)\}_{u\in Q}$ and denote by ι_Q^P the corresponding inclusion map. The last but not the least, their generalization by Kari Ragnarsson and Radu Stancu to *virtual* $P\times P$-sets [6] has driven our attention upon the so-called *double Burnside ring of* P.

1.5. In this paper we introduce a new *avatar* of a Frobenius P-category $\mathcal{F}$ in the form of a suitable subring $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$ of the double Burnside ring of P — called the *Hecke algebra of* $\mathcal{F}$ — where we are able to formulate *all* the results mentioned above, in some sense providing the framework we were looking for. The choice of the name comes from the case where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Frobenius category of a finite Chevalley group in the defining characteristic or, more generally, of a finite group G, where an analogous definition of the *Hecke algebra* is usually considered; in this case, the *Hecke algebra* of G is isomorphic to a quotient of a suitable *extension* of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$ (see §8 below).

2. The quoted framework

2.1. Let us denote by P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ the category of finite P-sets endowed with the *disjoint union* and with the *inner* direct product mapping any pair of finite P-sets X and Y on the *P-set* — still noted $X\times Y$ — obtained from the $P\times P$-set $X\times Y$ restricted through the *diagonal* map $\Delta\colon P\to P\times P$; note that, for a third finite P-set Z, we have a *canonical* bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_P(Z,X\times Y)\cong \mathrm{Hom}_P(Z,X)\times \mathrm{Hom}_P(Z,Y) \qquad 2.1.1.$$

Then, we denote by $\mathfrak{Fct}(P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set})$ the category of functors from P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ to itself preserving the disjoint unions, endowed with the *disjoint union* induced by the disjoint union in P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ and with the *composition* of functors.

2.2. More generally, if P' is a second finite p-group, we often have to consider the category $\mathfrak{Fct}(P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set},P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set})$ of functors from P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ to P'-$\mathfrak{Set}$ preserving the disjoint union, which is also endowed with a *disjoint union*; let us say that a functor $\mathfrak{f}\colon P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}\to P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}$ is *indecomposable* if it is *not* the disjoint union of two *nonempty* functors in $\mathfrak{Fct}(P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set},P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set})$. If P'' is a third finite p-group, we clearly have a *distributivity*, namely

$$(\mathfrak{g}\sqcup\mathfrak{g}')\circ\mathfrak{f}=(\mathfrak{g}\circ\mathfrak{f})\sqcup(\mathfrak{g}'\circ\mathfrak{f}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}\circ(\mathfrak{f}\sqcup\mathfrak{f}')=(\mathfrak{g}\circ\mathfrak{f})\sqcup(\mathfrak{g}\circ\mathfrak{f}') \qquad 2.2.1$$

for any functors $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{f}'$ in $\mathfrak{Fct}(P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set},P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set})$ and any functors $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ in $\mathfrak{Fct}(P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set},P''\text{-}\mathfrak{Set})$. We denote by $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f}')$ the set of *natural maps* from $\mathfrak{f}$

to $\mathfrak{f}'$, but write $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f})$ instead of $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{f})$; it is clear that for a third functor $\mathfrak{f}'' : P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \to P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}$ we have

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f} \sqcup \mathfrak{f}', \mathfrak{f}'') \cong \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}'') \times \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}', \mathfrak{f}'') \qquad 2.2.2.$$

2.3. On the other hand, if $\alpha : P' \to P$ is a group homomorphism, the *restriction* defines an evident functor

$$\mathfrak{res}_\alpha : P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \longrightarrow P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \qquad 2.3.1$$

which admits a *left adjoin* functor

$$\mathfrak{ind}_\alpha : P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \longrightarrow P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \qquad 2.3.2;$$

hence, for any functors $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{Fct}(P''\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}, P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set})$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{Fct}(P''\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}, P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set})$ we have a *canonical* bijection

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{ind}_\alpha \circ \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \cong \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{res}_\alpha \circ \mathfrak{h}) \qquad 2.3.3.$$

2.4. Note that, any pair of functors $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{f}'$ in $\mathfrak{Fct}(P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}, P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set})$ defines a functor

$$\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{f}' : P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \times P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \longrightarrow P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \qquad 2.4.1$$

mapping any pair of finite P-sets X and X' on the P'-set $\mathfrak{f}(X) \times \mathfrak{f}'(X')$, and any pair of P-set maps $a : X \to Y$ and $a' : X' \to Y'$ on the evident P'-set map

$$\mathfrak{f}(a) \times \mathfrak{f}'(a') : \mathfrak{f}(X) \times \mathfrak{f}'(X') \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}(Y) \times \mathfrak{f}'(Y') \qquad 2.4.2;$$

then, for any pair of functors $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ in $\mathfrak{Fct}(P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}, P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set})$ we have an evident injection

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \times \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{f}') \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{f}') \qquad 2.4.3.$$

which is bijective if $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ both map the *trivial* P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ on the *trivial* P'-$\mathfrak{Set}$. Indeed, according to the bijection 2.1.1, any P-set map

$$\mathfrak{g}(X) \times \mathfrak{g}'(X') \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}(X) \times \mathfrak{f}'(X') \qquad 2.4.4$$

determines and is determined by the corresponding two P-set maps

$$\mathfrak{g}(X) \times \mathfrak{g}'(X') \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}(X) \times \mathfrak{g}'(X') \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}'(X') \qquad 2.4.5;$$

but, it follows from the *naturalness* that the left-hand map in 2.4.5 is determined by the map which corresponds to the case where X' is the *trivial* P-set and then it determines an element of $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$; similarly, the right-hand map in 2.4.5 determines an element of $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{f}')$; finally, it is easily checked that this correspondence is the inverse of the injection 2.4.3.

2.5. Actually, we are only interested in the *full* subcategory $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'}$ of $\mathfrak{Fct}(P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}, P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set})$ over the functors determined by $P'\times P$-sets; explicitly, a $P'\times P$-set Ω determines a functor $\mathfrak{f}_\Omega$ mapping any P-set X on the P'-set — noted $\Omega\times_P X$ — formed by the set of orbits of P on $\Omega\times X$ through the action sending $(\omega,x)\in\Omega\times X$ to $\big((1,u)\cdot\omega, u\cdot x\big)$ for any $u\in P$, endowed with the action of P' mapping the orbit of (ω,x) on the orbit of $\big((u',1)\cdot\omega,x\big)$ for any $u'\in P$ — we often write $u'\cdot\omega$ and $\omega\cdot u^{-1}$ instead of $(u',1)\cdot\omega$ and $(1,u)\cdot\omega$ respectively; it is clear that $\mathfrak{f}_\Omega$ preserves the disjoint unions. For instance, for $P''=P$, the *restriction* and the *induction* functors above

$$\mathfrak{res}_\alpha : P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}\longrightarrow P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \quad\text{and}\quad \mathfrak{ind}_\alpha : P'\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}\longrightarrow P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \qquad 2.5.1$$

are respectively determined by the $P'\times P$- and the $P\times P'$-sets ${}_\alpha P$ and P_α denoting the respective $P'\times P$- and $P\times P'$-set structures over P sending $v\in P$ to $\alpha(u')vu^{-1}$ and to $uv\alpha(u')^{-1}$ for any $u\in P$ and any $u'\in P'$. Note that the functor $\mathfrak{f}_\Omega$ determines the $P\times P$-set Ω; indeed, considering the P-set P and its group of automorphisms in $P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set}$ — which is isomorphic to P — we get a $P'\times P$-set structure on $\mathfrak{f}_\Omega(P)$ and, with this structure, it is clear that $\mathfrak{f}_\Omega(P)$ is isomorphic to Ω. In particular, for any $P'\times P$-set Ω', we have a canonical bijection

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_\Omega,\mathfrak{f}_{\Omega'})\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{P'\times P}(\Omega,\Omega') \qquad 2.5.2.$$

2.6. It is clear that $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'}$ is *closed* with respect to the disjoint union, namely we have $\mathfrak{f}_\Omega\sqcup\mathfrak{f}_{\Omega'}=\mathfrak{f}_{\Omega\sqcup\Omega'}$, and an *indecomposable* functor in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'}$ is given by the *transitive* $P'\times P$-set $(P'\times P)/D$ where D is a subgroup of $P'\times P$; shortly we set

$$\mathfrak{f}_D=\mathfrak{f}_{(P'\times P)/D} \qquad 2.6.1.$$

Then, for any $P'\times P$-set Ω, it follows from isomorphism 2.5.2 that a natural map $\mu:\mathfrak{f}_D\to\mathfrak{f}_\Omega$ is induced by a $P'\times P$-set map (cf. 2.5.2)

$$\mathrm{m}_\mu : (P'\times P)/D\longrightarrow\Omega \qquad 2.6.2$$

which is actually determined by the image in Ω of the class in $(P'\times P)/D$ of $(1,1)$, and this image clearly belongs to the set of D-fixed elements Ω^D; conversely, any element ω of Ω^D determines a $P'\times P$-set map from $(P'\times P)/D$ to Ω sending the class of $(1,1)$ to ω, and therefore it determines a natural map from $\mathfrak{f}_D$ to $\mathfrak{f}_\Omega$; thus, we have a bijection

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_D,\mathfrak{f}_\Omega)\cong\Omega^D \qquad 2.6.3.$$

2.7. In particular, it is clear that the group

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{f}_D)=C_{P'\times P}(D)/Z(D) \qquad 2.7.1$$

acts on the set of natural maps $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_D,\mathfrak{f}_\Omega)$. Actually, all these subgroups $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{f}_D)\subset\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_D)$, where D runs over the set of subgroups of $P'\times P$, determine an *interior structure* in $(\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'})^{\circ}$ [4, 1.3] and, coherently, for another subgroup E of $P'\times P$, we set

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{Nat}}(\mathfrak{f}_D,\mathfrak{f}_E) = \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{f}_D)\backslash\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_D,\mathfrak{f}_E) \tag*{2.7.2.}$$

Note that, for any pair of functors $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'}$ we get

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_D,\mathfrak{g}\sqcup\mathfrak{g}') \cong \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_D,\mathfrak{g})\sqcup\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_D,\mathfrak{g}') \tag*{2.7.3.}$$

2.8. Moreover, $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ is also *closed* with respect to the composition, namely we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\Omega}\circ\mathfrak{f}_{\Omega'} = \mathfrak{f}_{\Omega\times_P\Omega'} \tag*{2.8.1}$$

for any $P\times P$-sets Ω and Ω'. On the other hand, denoting by Ω° the *opposite* $P\times P$-set of a $P\times P$-set Ω, the correspondence mapping $\mathfrak{f}_{\Omega}$ on $(\mathfrak{f}_{\Omega})^{\circ} = \mathfrak{f}_{\Omega^{\circ}}$ defines an auto-equivalence $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ which preserves the disjoint union and reverses the composition; then, it follows from bijection 2.5.2 that, for any pair of functors $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$, we still have a canonical bijection

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}^{\circ},\mathfrak{g}^{\circ}) \cong \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{g}) \tag*{2.8.2.}$$

Let us call *symmetric* any $\mathfrak{t}$-stable subcategory of $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$.

2.9. A particular kind of functors in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ is provided by the *inner* direct product in P-$\mathfrak{Set}$; indeed, for any P-set X, the correspondence sending any P-set Y to the P-set $X\times Y$ and any P-set map $g:Y\to Y'$ to the P-set map

$$\mathrm{id}_X\times g : X\times Y \longrightarrow X\times Y' \tag*{2.9.1}$$

defines a functor $\mathfrak{m}_X$ from P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ to P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ preserving the disjoint unions, and it is easily checked that, for any subgroup Q of P, the functor determined by the P-set P/Q coincides with the functor determined by the $P\times P$-set $P\times_Q P$; more precisely, we have a *functor*

$$\mathfrak{m}^P : P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_P \tag*{2.9.2}$$

mapping any P-set X on $\mathfrak{m}_X$ and any P-set map $f:X\to X'$ to the *natural map* $\mu_f:\mathfrak{m}_X\to\mathfrak{m}_{X'}$ sending Y to the P-set map

$$f\times\mathrm{id}_Y : X\times Y \longrightarrow X'\times Y \tag*{2.9.3.}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{m}^P$ preserves the *disjoint unions*, maps the *inner* direct product in P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ on the *composition* in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$, and is invariant by the auto-equivalence $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ above.

2.10. Actually, P has an obvious $P\times P$-set structure and it is clear that $\mathfrak{f}_P$ is the *identity functor*. More generally, for any subgroup Q of P and any group homomorphism $\varphi : Q\to P$, as in 1.4 above we set

$$\Delta_\varphi(Q) = \big\{\big(\varphi(u),u\big)\big\}_{u\in Q} \qquad 2.10.1$$

and, coherently with our notation in 2.5, we still set

$$P_\varphi\times_Q P = (P\times P)/\Delta_\varphi(Q) \quad\text{and}\quad \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} = \mathfrak{f}_{P_\varphi\times_Q P} = \mathfrak{ind}_\varphi\circ\mathfrak{res}_{\iota_Q^P} \qquad 2.10.2;$$

it is quite clear that this functor preserves the *projective* objects in P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ or, equivalently, the P-sets where P acts freely; conversely, any *indecomposable* functor in $\mathfrak{B}_P$ which preserves the *projective* P-sets has this form.

2.11. More explicitly, any functor $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ preserving the *projective* P-sets has the form $\mathfrak{f} = \bigsqcup_{i\in I}\mathfrak{f}_{Q_i,\varphi_i}$ for a suitable finite family $\{Q_i,\varphi_i\}_{i\in I}$ where Q_i are subgroups of P and $\varphi_i : Q_i\to P$ are group homomorphisms; then, for another functor $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{B}_P$ preserving the *projective* P-sets, given by the finite family $\{R_j,\psi_j\}_{j\in J}$, there is a natural map $\mu : \mathfrak{g}\to\mathfrak{f}$ if and only if there are a map $m : J\to I$ and, for any $j\in J$, a natural map $\mu_j : \mathfrak{f}_{R_j,\psi_j}\to\mathfrak{f}_{Q_{m(j)},\varphi_{m(j)}}$ or, equivalently, a $P\times P$-set map

$$\mathrm{m_j} : P_{\psi_j}\times_{R_j} P \longrightarrow P_{\varphi_{m(j)}}\times_{Q_{m(j)}} P \qquad 2.11.1,$$

which amounts to saying that there are $u_j, v_j\in P$ such that

$$R_j\subset (Q_{m(j)})^{u_j} \quad\text{and}\quad \psi_j = \kappa_{v_j}\circ\varphi_{m(j)}\circ\kappa_{u_j} \qquad 2.11.2$$

where $\kappa_{u_j} : R_j\to Q_{m(j)}$ and $\kappa_{v_j} : P\to P$ respectively denote the conjugation by u_j and by v_j.

2.12. In particular, for any indecomposable functors $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ such that $(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})^\circ$ and $(\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi})^\circ$ still preserve the *projective* P-sets, denoting by $\varphi_* : Q\cong\varphi(Q)$ and $\psi_* : R\cong\psi(R)$ the corresponding isomorphisms and setting $\varphi^* = (\varphi_*)^{-1}$ and $\psi^* = (\psi_*)^{-1}$, it follows from condition 2.11.2 above that any natural map from $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ determines an element of the intersection

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q,R)\cap\big(\tilde\varphi^*\circ\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q),\psi(R)\big)\circ\tilde\psi_*\big) \qquad 2.12.1,$$

namely an element $\tilde\kappa_u$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q,R)$ such that the composition $\tilde\varphi_*\circ\tilde\kappa_u\circ\tilde\psi^*$ belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q),\psi(R)\big)$; moreover, it is easily checked that this correspondence determines a bijection

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{Nat}}(\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi},\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})\cong\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q,R)\cap\big(\tilde\varphi^*\circ\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q),\psi(R)\big)\circ\tilde\psi_*\big) \qquad 2.12.2.$$

2.13. Moreover, for any pair of subgroups Q and R of P , and any pair of group homomorphisms φ and ψ from Q and R to P respectively, the functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}\circ\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ is determined by the $P\times P$-set

$$\begin{aligned}(P_\varphi\times_Q P)\times_P(P_\psi\times_R P) &= P_\varphi\times_Q\big(P\times_P(P_\psi\times_R P)\big)\\ &= P_\varphi\times_Q\big((P\times_P P_\psi)\times_R P\big)\qquad 2.13.1;\\ &= P_\varphi\times_Q(P_\psi\times_R P)\end{aligned}$$

but, choosing a set of representatives $W\subset P$ for the set of double classes $Q\backslash P/\psi(R)$, we have the disjoint decomposition

$$P=\bigsqcup_{w\in W} Q{\cdot}w{\cdot}\psi(R)\qquad 2.13.2;$$

moreover, for any $w\in W$, it is clear that $Q{\cdot}w{\cdot}\psi(R)$ has a $Q\times R$-set structure sending $uw\psi(v)^{-1}$ to $u'uw\psi(v'v)^{-1}$ for any $u,u'\in Q$ and any $v,v'\in R$; more precisely, setting $U_w=\psi^{-1}\big(Q^w\cap\psi(R)\big)$ and denoting by $\psi_w:U_w\to Q^w$ the restriction of ψ and by $\kappa_w:Q^w\to Q$ the corresponding conjugation by w , it is clear that we have a $Q\times R$-set isomorphism

$$Q{\cdot}w{\cdot}\psi(R)\cong Q_{\kappa_w\circ\psi_w}\times_{U_w}R\qquad 2.13.3;$$

finally, we get a $P\times P$-set isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}(P_\varphi\times_Q P)\times_P(P_\psi\times_R P) &\cong \bigsqcup_{w\in W} P_\varphi\times_Q(Q_{\kappa_w\circ\psi_w}\times_{U_w}R)\times_R P\\ &\cong \bigsqcup_{w\in W} P_{\varphi\circ\kappa_w\circ\psi_w}\times_{U_w}P\end{aligned}\qquad 2.13.4.$$

3. A version for divisible and Frobenius P-categories

3.1. For any *divisible P-category* $\mathcal{F}$, let us denote by $\mathfrak{H}_\mathcal{F}$ the *full* subcategory of $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ over the functors which are isomorphic to disjoint unions of functors $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ where Q is a subgroup of P and $\varphi:Q\to P$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism; thus, $\mathfrak{H}_\mathcal{F}$ is closed with respect to the disjoint unions, its elements preserve the *projective* P-sets, and is clearly symmetric (cf. 2.8). Moreover, $\mathfrak{H}_\mathcal{F}$ is closed with respect to the composition; indeed, for a second subgroup R of P and any $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\psi:R\to P$, with the notation in 2.13 above we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}\circ\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}=\bigsqcup_{w\in W}\mathfrak{f}_{U_w,\varphi\circ\kappa_w\circ\psi_w}\qquad 3.1.1$$

and the divisibility in $\mathcal{F}$ implies that, for any $w\in W$, ψ_w and κ_w are $\mathcal{F}$-morphisms and therefore $\varphi\circ\kappa_w\circ\psi_w$ is also an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism. In particular, since $\mathcal{F}$ contains the Frobenius category $\mathcal{F}_P$ of P , $\mathfrak{H}_\mathcal{F}$ contains $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}_P}$ — for short, we write $\mathfrak{H}_P$ instead of $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}_P}$; note that $\mathfrak{H}_P$ coincides with the *image* of the functor $\mathfrak{m}^P$.

3.2. More generally, the divisibility of $\mathcal{F}$ implies that

3.2.1 *Any functor* $\mathfrak{f}$ *in* $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ *admitting a natural map to a functor in* $\mathfrak{H}_\mathcal{F}$ *is an* $\mathfrak{H}_\mathcal{F}$*-object.*

Indeed, we actually may assume that $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_D$ where D is a subgroup of $P\times P$; then, our hypothesis and 2.11 above imply that there are a functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$, where Q is a subgroup of P and $\varphi\colon Q\to P$ is an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism, and a natural map $\mu\colon\mathfrak{f}_D\to\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$, which amounts to saying that there are $u,v\in P$ such that

$$D\subset\Delta_\varphi(Q)^{(u,v)} \qquad 3.2.2;$$

since $\mathcal{F}$ is divisible and contains $\mathcal{F}_P$, it easily follows that $D=\Delta_\psi(R)$ for a subgroup R of Q^v and an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\psi\colon R\to P$. Actually, this condition is equivalent to the divisibility of $\mathcal{F}$ as our next result shows.

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $\mathfrak{H}$ *be a full symmetric subcategory of* $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ *containing* $\mathfrak{H}_P$, *where all the elements preserve the projective* P*-sets, closed with respect to disjoint unions and to composition, and fulfilling the following condition:*

3.3.1. *Any functor* $\mathfrak{f}$ *in* $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ *admitting a natural map to a functor in* $\mathfrak{H}$ *is an* $\mathfrak{H}$*-object.*

Then, the family of sets

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{H}(P,Q)=\{\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}(Q,P)\mid \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}\ \textit{is an object of}\ \mathfrak{H}\} \qquad 3.3.2,$$

where Q *runs over the set of subgroups of* P, *determine a divisible* P*-category* $\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{H}$.

Proof: Since $\mathfrak{H}$ contains $\mathfrak{H}_P$, it is clear that $\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{H}(P,Q)$ contains $\mathcal{F}_P(P,Q)$; moreover, following [1, 2.4.1], let Q and R be subgroups of P and consider a group homomorphism $\theta\colon R\to Q$ such that

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{H}(P,R)\cap\big(\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{H}(P,Q)\circ\theta\big)\neq\emptyset \qquad 3.3.3;$$

that is to say, we assume that there is a group homomorphism $\varphi\colon Q\to P$ such that $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\varphi\circ\theta}$ are $\mathfrak{H}$-objects; hence, the composition $(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})^\circ\circ\mathfrak{f}_{R,\varphi\circ\theta}$ is an $\mathfrak{H}$-object too; but, it is clear that

$$(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})^\circ=\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi(Q),\iota_Q^P\circ\varphi^*} \qquad 3.3.4$$

where, as in 1.2 above, φ^* denotes the inverse of the isomorphism $Q\cong\varphi(Q)$ determined by φ, and then it follows from equality 3.1.1 that we have a natural map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{R,\iota_Q^P\circ\theta}\longrightarrow(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})^\circ\circ\mathfrak{f}_{R,\varphi\circ\theta} \qquad 3.3.5;$$

consequently, $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\iota_Q^P\circ\theta}$ is also an $\mathfrak{H}$-object.

Now, since for any $\varphi' \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}(P,Q)$ the functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi'} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{R,\iota_Q^P \circ \theta}$ is an $\mathfrak{H}$-object and it follows again from equality 3.1.1 that we have a natural map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{R,\varphi' \circ \theta} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi'} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{R,\iota_Q^P \circ \theta} \qquad 3.3.6,$$

$\mathfrak{f}_{R,\varphi' \circ \theta}$ is an $\mathfrak{H}$-object too; in conclusion, we get

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}(P,Q) \circ \theta \subset \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}(P,R) \qquad 3.3.7,$$

so that the proposition follows from [1, 2.4.1].

3.4. Let us call *divisible $\mathfrak{H}_P$-category* any full symmetric subcategory $\mathfrak{H}$ of $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ containing $\mathfrak{H}_P$, where all the elements preserve the projective P-sets, closed with respect to disjoint unions and to composition, and fulfilling condition 3.3.1; then, the proposition above guarantees that $\mathfrak{H}$ determines a *divisible P-category* $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and we already have seen that any *divisible P-category* $\mathcal{F}$ determines a *divisible $\mathfrak{H}_P$-category* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Moreover, it is quite clear that

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}} = \mathfrak{H} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}}} = \mathcal{F} \qquad 3.4.1,$$

so that these correspondences determine a bijection between the sets of *divisible P-categories* and *divisible $\mathfrak{H}_P$-categories;* we discribe below the image of the *Frobenius P-categories.* Recall that we denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ the quotient category of $\mathcal{F}$ by the inner automorphisms of the objects [4, 1.3].

3.5. First of all, for any functor $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ which preserves the *projective* P-sets we define a positive integer $\ell(\mathfrak{f})$ by

$$|\mathfrak{f}(P)| = \ell(\mathfrak{f})|P| \qquad 3.5.1;$$

for another such a functor $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$, we have

$$\ell(\mathfrak{f} \sqcup \mathfrak{g}) = \ell(\mathfrak{f}) + \ell(\mathfrak{g}) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(\mathfrak{f} \circ \mathfrak{g}) = \ell(\mathfrak{f})\,\ell(\mathfrak{g}) \qquad 3.5.2.$$

Then, for any *divisible $\mathfrak{H}_P$-category* $\mathfrak{H}$ denote by

$$\ell_{\mathfrak{H}} : \mathfrak{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \qquad 3.5.3$$

the restriction to $\mathfrak{H}$ of this correspondence and by $\mathrm{Ker}(\ell_{\mathfrak{H}})$ the set of isomorphism classes of functors $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ fulfilling $\ell(\mathfrak{f}) = 1$; it is quite clear that the composition in $\mathfrak{H}$ induces a group structure in $\mathrm{Ker}(\ell_{\mathfrak{H}})$ and we actually have

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\ell_{\mathfrak{H}}) \cong \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathfrak{H}}(P) \qquad 3.5.4.$$

3.6. On the other hand, according to 2.6 above, for any indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_D$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$, a natural map from $\mathfrak{f}_D$ to $\mathfrak{f}_D$ is determined by the image $\overline{(u,v)} \in (P\times P)/D$ of $\overline{(1,1)}$ and then it is easily checked that the element $(u,v) \in P\times P$ normalizes the subgroup D of $P\times P$; conversely, any $(u,v) \in N_{P\times P}(D)$ determines a $P\times P$-set automorphism of $(P\times P)/D$ and therefore it determines a natural automorphism $\mathfrak{f}_D \cong \mathfrak{f}_D$, so that we have

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_D) \cong \bar{N}_{P\times P}(D) \qquad 3.6.1$$

and $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_D)$ acts on $(P \times P)/D$. We are specially interested in the case where $\mathfrak{f}_D$ preserves the *projective* P-sets or, equivalently, where $D = \Delta_\varphi(Q)$ for a subgroup Q of P and a group homomorphism $\varphi\colon Q \to P$; then, from bijection 2.12.2, we get the exact sequence (cf. 2.7)

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q) \cap {}^{\varphi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \longrightarrow 1 \qquad 3.6.2.$$

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a divisible $\mathfrak{H}_P$-category. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a Frobenius P-category if and only if* $\mathrm{Ker}(\ell_{\mathfrak{H}})$ *is a p'-group and, for any indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ such that we have*

$$|\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi'})| \le |\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})| \qquad 3.7.1$$

for any indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi'}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$, there are an indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ and a natural map μ from $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ such that the stabilizer of $\mu \in \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}, \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi})$ in $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})$ is a complement of the image of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})$.

Proof: By the very definitions of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})$ and of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$, note that the condition on $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is equivalent to

$$\big|C_P\big(\varphi'(Q)\big)\big| \le \big|C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\big| \qquad 3.7.2$$

for any $\varphi' \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}(P,Q)$ which implies that $\varphi(Q)$ is *fully centralized* in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ [4, Proposition 2.7]. If $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a Frobenius P-category then, denoting by R the converse image in P of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q) \cap {}^{\varphi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$, we know that there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}(P,R)$ extending φ or, equivalently, such that $\Delta_\psi(R)$ contains $\Delta_\varphi(Q)$; in this case, it is clear that $\Delta_\varphi(Q)$ is actually normal in $\Delta_\psi(R)$, so that we get

$$N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_\varphi(Q)\big) = \Big(C_P(Q) \times C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\Big)\cdot\Delta_\psi(R) \qquad 3.7.3,$$

and therefore the exact sequence 3.6.2 above is *split*; moreover, we have an evident natural map $\mu\colon \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \to \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ and the stabilizer of $\mu \in \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}, \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi})$ in $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})$ is a complement of the image of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})$.

Conversely, assume that the condition on $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ holds and that there are an indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{T,\eta}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ and a natural map ν from $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{T,\eta}$ such that the stabilizer of $\nu \in \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}, \mathfrak{f}_{T,\eta})$ in $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})$ is a complement of the image of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi})$; then, with the notation above, we claim that there exists $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}(P,R)$ extending φ. Indeed, it is clear that ν is determined by $(u,v) \in T_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_\varphi(Q), \Delta_\eta(T)\big)$ and therefore the converse image in $N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_\varphi(Q)\big)$ of the stabilizer of ν coincides with $N_{\Delta_\eta(T)^{(u,v)}}\big(\Delta_\varphi(Q)\big)$ and covers $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q) \cap {}^{\varphi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$, which forces

$$N_{\Delta_\eta(T)^{(u,v)}}\big(\Delta_\varphi(Q)\big) = \Delta_\psi(R) \supset \Delta_\varphi(Q) \qquad 3.7.4$$

where $\psi(w) = v\eta(uwu^{-1})v^{-1}$ for any $w \in R$; hence, ψ extends φ and belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}(P,R)$, proving the claim.

Now, if $\varphi' : Q \to P$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$-morphism such that $\varphi'(Q)$ is *fully centralized* in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ [4, 2.6] and R' is a subgroup of $N_P(Q)$ such that

$$Q \subset R' \quad \text{and} \quad {}^{\varphi'}\mathcal{F}_{R'}(Q) \subset \mathcal{F}_P\big(\varphi'(Q)\big) \qquad 3.7.5,$$

we claim that there exists $\psi' \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}(P, R')$ extending φ'. Indeed, setting $Q' = \varphi'(Q)$, it is clear that $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi\circ\varphi'^*}$ is an indecomposable functor in $\mathfrak{H}$ which still fulfills the condition above; hence, our hypothesis and the argument above proves that, denoting by T' the converse image of ${}^{\varphi'}\mathcal{F}_{R'}(Q)$ in P, there exists $\eta' \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}(P, T')$ extending $\varphi \circ \varphi'^*$; since Q' is *fully centralized* in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$, in particular we have

$$\eta'\big(C_P(Q')\big) = C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \qquad 3.7.6;$$

then, since ${}^{\varphi^*}\mathcal{F}_{\eta'(T')}\big(\varphi(Q)\big) = \mathcal{F}_{R'}(Q)$ and $C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \subset \eta'(T')$, it is clear that we have

$$R' \subset R \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(R') \subset \eta'(T') \qquad 3.7.7$$

and the $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$-morphism mapping $v \in R'$ on $\eta'^*\big(\psi(v)\big)$ extends φ', proving our claim. Thus, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a Frobenius P-category by [4, Proposition 2.11].

3.8. We call *Frobenius $\mathfrak{H}_P$-category* any divisible $\mathfrak{H}_P$-category $\mathfrak{H}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a Frobenius P-category. In this case, we have the following result which — although we do not employ it — generalizes [4, Proposition 6.7]. Recall that, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a *divisible P-category*, we call *$\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing* any subgroup Q of P such that $C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \subset \varphi(Q)$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(P, Q)$; note that [4, 4.8]

3.8.1 *If Q is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing then any subgroup R of P such that $\mathcal{F}(R, Q)$ is not empty is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing too.*

Moreover, let us say that an indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ is *maximal* if any natural map from $\mathfrak{f}$ to an indecomposable functor in $\mathfrak{H}$ is a natural isomorphism.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a Frobenius $\mathfrak{H}_P$-category and set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$. For any indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ such that Q is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing there is a unique isomorphism class of maximal indecomposable functors $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ such that $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}, \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi})$ is not empty, and then we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathfrak{Nat}}(\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}, \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}) = \{\tilde{\mu}\} \qquad 3.9.1.$$

In particular, for any natural map $\mu' : \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \to \mathfrak{f}_{R',\psi'}$ there is a unique exterior natural map $\tilde{\eta} : \mathfrak{f}_{R',\psi'} \to \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ fulfilling $\tilde{\eta} \circ \tilde{\mu}' = \tilde{\mu}$.

Proof: We argue by induction on $|P : Q|$ and may assume that $Q \neq P$; denote by N the converse image in P of the intersection $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q) \cap {}^{\varphi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$; then, it follows from [4, 2.12.1] that φ can be extended to an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\nu : N \to P$, so that $\Delta_\nu(N)$ contains $\Delta_\varphi(Q)$; in particular, N is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing and we have a natural map α from $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{N,\nu}$ (cf. 2.6). It is clear that $\mathfrak{f}_{N,\nu}$ admits a natural map β to a maximal indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$, so that R is also $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing and we actually may assume that $\Delta_\nu(N) \subset \Delta_\psi(R)$; denote by μ the composition of the natural maps

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathfrak{f}_{N,\nu} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi} \qquad 3.9.3.$$

If $\mu' : \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \to \mathfrak{f}_{R',\psi'}$ is a natural map, there are $u, v \in P$ such that (cf. 2.11.2)

$$Q \subset R'^u \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi = \kappa_v \circ \psi' \circ \iota^{R'}_{Q^{u^{-1}}} \circ \kappa_u \qquad 3.9.4$$

where $\kappa_u : Q \to Q^{u^{-1}}$ and $\kappa_v : P \to P$ respectively denote the conjugation by u and by v, and therefore we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{R'^u}(Q) \subset \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q) \cap {}^{\varphi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \qquad 3.9.5;$$

moreover, setting $N' = N_{R'}(Q^{u^{-1}})$ and denoting by $\nu' : N' \to P$ the restriction of ψ' and by $\kappa'_u : N'^u \to N'$ the conjugation by u, we still have $\varphi = \kappa_v \circ \nu' \circ \kappa'_u \circ \iota^{N'^u}_Q$ and μ' factorizes throughout obvious natural maps

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \xrightarrow{\alpha'} \mathfrak{f}_{N',\nu'} \xrightarrow{\beta'} \mathfrak{f}_{R',\psi'} \qquad 3.9.6.$$

In particular, both group homomorphisms $\nu \circ \iota^N_{N'}$ and $\kappa_v \circ \nu' \circ \kappa'_u$ from N' to P extend φ; consequently, it follows from [4, Proposition 4.6] that there exists $z \in C_P(Q)$ such that $\nu \circ \iota^N_{N'} = \kappa_v \circ \nu' \circ \kappa'_{uz}$ and therefore we get a natural map $\gamma : \mathfrak{f}_{N',\nu'} \to \mathfrak{f}_{N,\nu}$ determined by the $P \times P$-set map

$$P_{\nu'} \times_{N'} P \longrightarrow P_\nu \times_N P \qquad 3.9.7$$

sending the class of $(1,1)$ to the class of (v^{-1}, uz); then, since α and α' are respectively determined by the $P \times P$-set map

$$P_\varphi \times_Q P \longrightarrow P_\nu \times_N P \quad \text{and} \quad P_\varphi \times_Q P \longrightarrow P_{\nu'} \times_{N'} P \qquad 3.9.8$$

sending the class of $(1,1)$ to the classes of $(1,1)$ and (v^{-1}, u), we finally obtain $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \tilde{\alpha}' = \tilde{\alpha}$.

Now, since $Q \subset N'^u$, N' is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing; thus, considering the natural maps $\beta \circ \gamma : \mathfrak{f}_{N',\nu'} \to \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ and $\beta' : \mathfrak{f}_{N',\nu'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{R',\psi'}$, it follows from the induction hypothesis that there exists a unique exterior natural map

$$\tilde{\eta} : \mathfrak{f}_{R',\psi'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi} \qquad 3.9.9$$

fulfilling $\tilde{\eta} \circ \tilde{\beta}' = \tilde{\beta} \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ and therefore we finally obtain

$$\tilde{\eta} \circ \tilde{\mu}' = \tilde{\eta} \circ \tilde{\beta}' \circ \tilde{\alpha}' = \tilde{\beta} \circ \tilde{\gamma} \circ \tilde{\alpha}' = \tilde{\beta} \circ \tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\mu} \qquad 3.9.10.$$

On the other hand, if $\tilde{\theta} : \mathfrak{f}_{R',\psi'} \to \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ is another natural map such that $\tilde{\theta} \circ \tilde{\mu}' = \tilde{\mu}$ then, applying [4, Proposition 4.6] as above, we get $\tilde{\theta} \circ \tilde{\beta}' = \tilde{\beta} \circ \tilde{\gamma}$ and therefore we still get $\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\eta}$. We are done.

4. The Alperin theorem in a Frobenius $\mathfrak{H}_P$-category

4.1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a *divisible P-category* and set $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$; in [4, Lemma 5.4] we state an *additive* version of Alperin's original formulation of his celebrated theorem [1], which in $\mathcal{F}$ is expressed *via* equalities [4, 5.4.2]; but, as a matter of fact, the corresponding equalities in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ admit an equivalent formulation in the category $\mathfrak{H}$ as our next result shows.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathfrak{f}$ be the composition of a family $\mathfrak{F} = \{\mathfrak{f}_{Q_i,\varphi_i}\}_{i\in\Delta_n}$ of indecomposable functors in $\mathfrak{H}$. For any indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ there is a natural map $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \to \mathfrak{f}$ if and only if for any $i \in \Delta_n$ there is an $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-morphism $\tilde{\psi}_i : Q \to Q_i$ fulfilling*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\iota}_Q^P &= \tilde{\iota}_{Q_0}^P \circ \tilde{\psi}_0 \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{i-1} \circ \tilde{\psi}_{i-1} &= \tilde{\iota}_{Q_i}^P \circ \tilde{\psi}_i \text{ for any } 1 \le i \le n \\ \tilde{\varphi}_n \circ \tilde{\psi}_n &= \tilde{\varphi} \end{aligned} \qquad 4.2.1.$$

Proof: By the composition of $\mathfrak{F}$ we mean that we inductively define a new family $\{\mathfrak{g}_i\}_{i\in\Delta_n}$ of functors setting $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{f}_{Q_0,\varphi_0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{f}_{Q_i,\varphi_i} \circ \mathfrak{g}_{i-1}$ for any $1 \le i \le n$; in order to compute $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{g}_n$, the first step is the choice of a set of representatives $W^R_{R',R''} \subset R$ for the set of double classes $R'\backslash R/R''$ when R runs over the set of subgroups of P and (R', R'') over the set of pairs of subgroups of R.

Then, we consider the set $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{F})$ of finite double sequences of elements of P

$$w = \{w_{j+1,i}\}_{j,i\in\Delta_n,\, j+i<n} \qquad 4.2.2$$

such that, setting $w(0, i) = \emptyset$ for any $i \in \Delta_n$ and considering

$$w(j + 1, i) = \{w_{\ell+1,i+k}\}_{\ell,k\in\Delta_j,\, \ell+k\le j} \qquad 4.2.3$$

for any $j, i \in \Delta_n$ fulfilling $j + i < n$, and inductively defining $R_{w(0,i)} = Q_i$ for any $i \in \Delta_n$ and

$$R_{w(j+1,i)} = (\varphi_i)^{-1}\big((R_{w(j,i+1)})^{w_{j+1,i}} \cap \varphi_i(R_{w(j,i)})\big) \qquad 4.2.4$$

for any $j, i \in \Delta_n$ fulfilling $j + i < n$, the element $(w_{j+1,i})^{-1}$ belongs to the chosen set of representatives

$$W^{R_{w(j-1,i+1)}}_{R_{w(j,i+1)},\varphi_i(R_{w(j,i)})} \cong R_{w(j,i+1)}\backslash R_{w(j-1,i+1)}/\varphi_i(R_{w(j,i)}) \qquad 4.2.5$$

for any $j, i \in \Delta_n$ fulfilling $j + i < n$, where we still set $R_{w(-1,i+1)} = P$. For any $j, i \in \Delta_n$ fulfilling $j+i < n$, we denote by $\kappa_{w_{j+1,i}} : P \cong P$ the conjugation by $w_{j+1,i}$.

For such a sequence, note that $R_{w(j+1,i)}$ is contained in $R_{w(j,i)}$ and that $\kappa_{w_{j+1,i}} \circ \varphi_i$ induces a group homomorphism $\varphi_{j,i} : R_{w(j+1,i)} \to R_{w(j,i+1)}$; then, we have an evident commutative $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & R_{w(j-1,i+1)} & \\
R_{w(j,i)} \arrow[ur, "\tilde\varphi_{j-1,i}"] & & R_{w(j,i+1)} \arrow[ul] \\
 & R_{w(j+1,i)} \arrow[ul] \arrow[ur, "\tilde\varphi_{j,i}"] &
\end{tikzcd} \qquad 4.2.6,$$

where the left-hand oriented $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-morphisms are induced by the corresponding inclusions; moreover, we inductively define

$$\omega_{w(j,i)} : R_{w(j,i)} \longrightarrow Q_{j+i} \qquad 4.2.7$$

setting $\omega_{w(0,i)} = \mathrm{id}_{Q_i}$ and $\omega_{w(j+1,i)} = \omega_{w(j,i+1)} \circ \varphi_{j,i}$, and from the commutativity of diagram 4.2.6 above it is not difficult to get the following commutative $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & P & \\
 & Q_{j+i} \arrow[ur, "\tilde\varphi_{j+i}"] & & Q_{j+i+1} \arrow[ul] \\
R_{w(j,i)} \arrow[ur, "\tilde\omega_{j,i}"] & & & \\
 & R_{w(j+1,i)} \arrow[ul] \arrow[uurr, "\tilde\omega_{j+1,i}"] & &
\end{tikzcd} \qquad 4.2.8.$$

Now, we claim that

$$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{g}_n = \bigsqcup_{w \in \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{F})} \mathfrak{f}_{R_{w(n,0)},\, \varphi_n \circ \omega_{w(n,0)}} \qquad 4.2.9;$$

indeed, arguing by induction on n , we may assume that $n \geq 1$; then, by the induction hypothesis, we already have

$$\mathfrak{g}_{n-1} = \bigsqcup_{w' \in \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{F}')} \mathfrak{f}_{R_{w'(n-1,0)},\, \varphi_{n-1} \circ \omega_{w'(n-1,0)}} \qquad 4.2.10$$

where we set $\mathfrak{F}' = \{\mathfrak{f}_{Q_i,\varphi_i}\}_{i \in \Delta_{n-1}}$; hence, we get

$$\mathfrak{g}_n = \bigsqcup_{w' \in \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{F}')} \mathfrak{f}_{Q_n,\varphi_n} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{R_{w'(n-1,0)},\, \varphi_{n-1} \circ \omega_{w'(n-1,0)}} \qquad 4.2.11.$$

But, it is easily checked that we have a surjective map $\pi : \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{F}) \to \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{F}')$ sending $w \in \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{F})$ to the double sequence $w(n-1,0)$ and that, for any $w' \in \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{F}')$, the set of ordered products

$$W = \Big\{ \prod_{j \in \Delta_{n-1}} (w_{j+1,n-j-1})^{-1} \Big\}_{w \in \pi^{-1}(w')} \qquad 4.2.12$$

is a set of representatives for the set of double classes

$$Q_n\backslash P/(\varphi_{n-1}\circ\omega_{w'(n-1,0)})(R_{w'(n-1,0)}) \qquad 4.2.13.$$

Consequently, from equality 3.1.1 we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q_n,\varphi_n}\circ\mathfrak{f}_{R_{w'(n-1,0)}\,,\,\varphi_{n-1}\circ\omega_{w'(n-1,0)}} = \bigsqcup_{w\in\pi^{-1}(w')}\mathfrak{f}_{R_{w(n,0)}\,,\,\varphi_n\circ\omega_{w(n,0)}} \qquad 4.2.14,$$

which proves our claim.

In particular, if there is a natural map $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}\to\mathfrak{f}$ from an indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$, then there are $w\in\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{F})$ and a natural map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{f}_{R_{w(n,0)}\,,\,\varphi_n\circ\omega_{w(n,0)}} \qquad 4.2.15,$$

which amounts to saying that there are $u,v\in P$ such that (cf. 2.11)

$$Q\subset(R_{w(n,0)})^u \quad\text{and}\quad \varphi=\kappa_v\circ\varphi_n\circ\omega_{w(n,0)}\circ\kappa_u \qquad 4.2.16$$

where $\kappa_u : Q\to R_{w(n,0)}$ and $\kappa_v : P\to P$ respectively denote the corresponding conjugation by u and by v; then, setting

$$\psi_j=\omega_{w(j,0)}\circ\iota_{R_{w(n,0)}}^{R_{w(j,0)}}\circ\kappa_u \qquad 4.2.17$$

for any $j\in\Delta_n$, we get the top and the bottom equalities in 4.2.1, whereas if $j\neq n$ then from the commutativity of diagram 4.2.8 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde\varphi_{j-1}\circ\tilde\psi_{j-1}&=\tilde\varphi_{j-1}\circ\tilde\omega_{w(j-1,0)}\circ\tilde\iota_{R_{w(j,0)}}^{R_{w(j-1,0)}}\circ\tilde\iota_{R_{w(n,0)}}^{R_{w(j,0)}}\circ\tilde\kappa_u\\ &=\tilde\iota_{Q_j}^{P}\circ\tilde\omega_{w(j,0)}\circ\tilde\iota_{R_{w(n,0)}}^{R_{w(j,0)}}\circ\tilde\kappa_u=\tilde\iota_{Q_j}^{P}\circ\tilde\psi_j\end{aligned} \qquad 4.2.18,$$

which proves the middle equalities in 4.2.1.

Conversely, assume that the equalities 4.2.1 hold; arguing by induction on n, we may assume that $n\neq 0$; then, setting $\varphi'=\iota_{Q_n}\circ\psi_n$, the induction hypothesis guarantees the existence of a natural map $\mu' : \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi'}\to\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ and therefore we get the natural map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q_n,\varphi_n}*\mu' : \mathfrak{f}_{Q_n,\varphi_n}\circ\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi'}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{f}_{Q_n,\varphi_n}\circ\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}=\mathfrak{f} \qquad 4.2.19;$$

but, according to equality 3.1.1, for a suitable functor $\mathfrak{f}'$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ we have

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q_n,\varphi_n}\circ\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi'}=\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi_n\circ\psi_n}\sqcup\mathfrak{f}' \qquad 4.2.20;$$

moreover, since $\tilde\varphi_n\circ\tilde\psi_n=\tilde\varphi$, we have $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi_n\circ\psi_n}\cong\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ and therefore we still have a natural map $\nu : \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}\to\mathfrak{f}_{Q_n,\varphi_n}\circ\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi'}$; finally, we get the natural map

$$\mu=(\mathfrak{f}_{Q_n,\varphi_n}*\mu')\circ\nu : \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}\longrightarrow\mathfrak{f} \qquad 4.2.21.$$

4.3. Translating here the terminology of [4, Ch. 5], we say that an indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ is *$\mathfrak{H}$-reducible* if either $Q = P$ or there is a natural map from $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ to the composition of a family $\mathfrak{F} = \{\mathfrak{f}_{Q_i,\varphi_i}\}_{i\in\Delta_n}$ of indecomposable functors in $\mathfrak{H}$ such that we have $|Q| < |Q_i|$ for any $i \in \Delta_n$. From equality 3.1.1 it is easily checked that the composition of $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible indecomposable functors is a disjoint union of $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible indecomposable functors. It follows easily from Proposition 4.2 that the indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is *$\mathfrak{H}$-reducible* if and only if, with the notation and the terminology in [4, Ch. 5], the *Alperin $\mathcal{F}$-fusion $\varphi - \iota_Q^P$ in the $\mathbb{Z}$-free module over $\mathcal{F}(P,Q)$ is $\mathcal{F}$-reducible.*

4.4. Coherently, we call *$\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible* any indecomposable functor in $\mathfrak{H}$ which is *not* $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible. As in [3, Ch. III], we say that two *$\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible* functors $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'}$ are *exchangeable* if there are two natural maps

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}\circ\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}\circ\mathfrak{g} \quad\text{and}\quad \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}'\circ\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'}\circ\mathfrak{g}' \tag{4.3.1}$$

for suitable $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible indecomposable functors $\mathfrak{f}$, $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{f}'$ and $\mathfrak{g}'$; it is clear that this relation defines an *equivalence* in the set of $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible indecomposable functors. Actually, the existence of any natural map in 4.3.1 forces the existence of the other one, as our next result shows.

Proposition 4.5. *Two $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible functors $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'}$ are exchangeable if and only if there exists a group isomorphism $\theta : Q \cong Q'$ such that the functors $\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi(Q),\varphi'\circ\theta\circ\varphi^*}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\iota_Q^P\circ\theta^{-1}}$ are $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible.*

Proof: If we have a natural map from $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'}$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}\circ\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}\circ\mathfrak{f}_{R',\psi'}$ for suitable $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible indecomposable functors $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{R',\psi'}$, the *$\mathfrak{H}$-irreducibility* of $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'}$ forces $|Q'| = |Q|$; moreover, it follows from equality 3.1.1 applied twice that there are $w, w' \in P$ such that, setting

$$\begin{aligned} Q'' &= \psi'^{-1}\Big(\big(\varphi^{-1}(R^w\cap\varphi(Q))\big)^{w'}\cap\psi'(R')\Big) \\ \varphi'' &= \psi\circ\kappa_w\circ\varphi_w\circ\kappa_{w'}\circ\psi'_{w'} \end{aligned} \tag{4.5.1}$$

where φ_w and $\psi'_{w'}$ are suitable restrictions of φ and ψ', and κ_w and $\kappa_{w'}$ are induced by the conjugation by w and by w' respectively, we still have a natural map from $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'}$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{Q'',\varphi''}$, which actually has to be a natural isomorphism since $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible.

Consequently, according to 2.11, there are $v, v' \in P$ fufilling $Q' = (Q'')^v$ and $\varphi' = \kappa_{v'}\circ\varphi''\circ\kappa_v$; in particular, we get

$$\varphi(Q) \subset R^w \quad , \quad Q^{w'} \subset \psi'(R') \quad\text{and}\quad Q'' = \psi'^{-1}(Q^{w'}) \tag{4.5.2}$$

and we denote by $\theta : Q \cong Q'$ the group isomorphism fulfilling

$$\psi'\big(\theta(u)^{v^{-1}}\big) = u^{w'} \tag{4.5.3}$$

for any $u \in Q$.

Then, we have natural maps

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi(Q),\varphi'\circ\theta\circ\varphi^*} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{f}_{Q',\iota_Q^P\circ\theta^{-1}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{R',\psi'} \qquad 4.5.4;$$

indeed, we already have $\varphi(Q) \subset R^w$ and, moreover, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi' \circ \theta \circ \varphi^* &= (\kappa_{v'} \circ \varphi'' \circ \kappa_v) \circ \theta \circ \varphi^* \\ &= \kappa_{v'} \circ (\psi \circ \kappa_w \circ \varphi_w \circ \kappa_{w'} \circ \psi'_{w'}) \circ \kappa_v \circ \theta \circ \varphi^* \qquad 4.5.5 \\ &= \kappa_{v'} \circ \psi \circ \kappa_w \end{aligned}$$

which proves the existence of the left-hand natural map; similarly, we still have $Q' \subset R'^v$ and we can write

$$\theta^{-1} = \kappa_{w'} \circ \psi'_{w'} \circ \kappa_v \qquad 4.5.6$$

which proves the existence of the right-hand natural map. Hence, the functors $\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi(Q),\varphi'\circ\theta\circ\varphi^*}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\iota_Q^P\circ\theta^{-1}}$ are $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible too.

Conversely, assume that there is a group isomorphism $\theta : Q \cong Q'$ such that the functors $\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi(Q),\varphi'\circ\theta\circ\varphi^*}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\iota_Q^P\circ\theta^{-1}}$ are $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible; on the one hand, from equality 3.1.1 it is easily checked that there exists a natural map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{\varphi(Q),\varphi'\circ\theta\circ\varphi^*} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{Q',\iota_Q^P\circ\theta^{-1}} \qquad 4.5.7;$$

on the other hand, it is quite clear that we have

$$(\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi(Q),\varphi'\circ\theta\circ\varphi^*})^\circ = \mathfrak{f}_{\varphi'(Q'),\varphi\circ\theta^{-1}\circ\varphi'^*} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\iota_Q^P\circ\theta^{-1}})^\circ = \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\iota_{Q'}^P\circ\theta} \qquad 4.5.8,$$

so that $\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi'(Q'),\varphi\circ\theta^{-1}\circ\varphi'^*}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\iota_{Q'}^P\circ\theta}$ are $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible too, and once again we have a natural map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{\varphi'(Q'),\varphi\circ\theta^{-1}\circ\varphi'^*} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\iota_{Q'}^P\circ\theta} \qquad 4.5.9.$$

We are done.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a set of representatives for the exchangeability classes of $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible indecomposable functors. Then, any indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ admits a natural map to the composition of $\mathfrak{f}_{P,\sigma}$ for a suitable $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}(P)$, with the composition of a family $\mathfrak{F} = \{\mathfrak{f}_{Q_i,\varphi_i}\}_{i\in\Delta_n}$ of indecomposable functors in $\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof: According to Lemma 4.7 below, it suffices to prove that $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$ admits a natural map to the composition of a finite family $\mathfrak{F} = \{\mathfrak{f}_{Q_i,\varphi_i}\}_{i\in\Delta_n}$ of indecomposable functors in

$$\mathcal{E}' = \{\mathfrak{f}_{P,\sigma}\}_{\sigma\in\mathcal{F}(P)} \cup \mathcal{E} \qquad 4.6.1;$$

we argue by induction on $|P\!:\!Q|$ and may assume that $Q \neq P$; we also may assume that $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ does not belong to $\mathcal{E}$. If $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible then it admits a natural map to the composition of a family $\{\mathfrak{f}_{R_j,\psi_j}\}_{j\in\Delta_m}$ of indecomposable functors in $\mathfrak{H}$ fulfilling $|Q| < |R_j|$ for any $j \in \Delta_m$ and it suffices to apply the induction hypothesis to any $\mathfrak{f}_{R_j,\psi_j}$. If $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible then we have a natural map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'} \circ \mathfrak{g} \qquad 4.6.2$$

where $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ are $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible indecomposable functors and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q',\varphi'}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}$; then, it suffices to apply the precedent argument to both $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 4.7. *For any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(P)$ and any indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ we have*

$$\mathfrak{f}_{P,\sigma} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} = \mathfrak{f}_{\sigma(Q),\sigma\circ\varphi\circ\sigma_Q^{-1}} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{P,\sigma} \qquad 4.7.1$$

where $\sigma_Q\!: Q \cong \sigma(Q)$ denotes the isomorphism induced by σ.

Proof: Straightforward.

4.8. As stated in 4.3 above, an indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$*-irreducible* if and only if, with the notation and the terminology in [4, 5.7], the *Alperin $\mathcal{F}$-fusion* $\varphi - \iota_Q^P$ in the $\mathbb{Z}$-free module over $\mathcal{F}(P,Q)$ is *$\mathcal{F}$-irreducible,* so that Q is *$\mathcal{F}$-essential*. Recall that [4, Theorem 5.11]

4.8.1 *If $\mathcal{F}$ is a Frobenius P-category, Q is $\mathcal{F}$-essential if and only if it is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ admits a proper subgroup $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that p divides $|\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}|$ and does not divide $|\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}} \cap \tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\tilde{\tau}}|$ for any $\tilde{\tau} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q) - \tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}$.*

In this case, $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}$ contains a Sylow p-subgroup of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ and, according to [4, 5.12.1], these subgroups are exactly the proper subgroups of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ containing a conjugate of one of them $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ which contains $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q)$. The following result completes our equivalent formulation.

Theorem 4.9. *Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a Frobenius P-category. An indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible if and only if Q is $\mathcal{F}$-essential and $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ does not contain ${}^{\varphi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$. In this case, for any $\tilde{\tau} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q) - \tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$, the $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible functors $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\iota_Q^P\circ\tau}$ are exchangeable if and only if $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)^{\tilde{\tau}}$ contains ${}^{\varphi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$.*

Proof: First of all, we claim that if Q is not $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing then $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible. Indeed, let $\theta\!:\varphi(Q) \to P$ be an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism such that $\theta\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ is fully normalized in $\mathcal{F}$ [4, Proposition 2.7]; then, it follows from [4, Proposition 2.11] that, up to modifying our choice of θ, there is an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\zeta\!: N_P(Q) \to P$ extending $\theta \circ \varphi_*$ where we denote by $\varphi_*\!: Q \cong \varphi(Q) = Q'$ the isomorphism determined by φ; similarly, there exists an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\xi\!: N_P(Q') \to P$ which extends $\theta \circ \tau'$ for a suitable $\mathcal{F}$-automorphism τ' of Q'; finally, since $Q'' = \theta(Q')$ is also fully centralized in $\mathcal{F}$ by [4, Proposition 2.11], we have an $\mathcal{F}$-automorphism σ'' of $C'' = Q''{\cdot}C_P(Q'')$ extending $\theta_* \circ \tau' \circ \theta_*^{-1}$.

At this point, since we clearly have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_Q^P &= \iota_{N_P(Q)}^P \circ \iota_Q^{N_P(Q)} \\ \zeta \circ \iota_{N_P(Q)}^P &= \iota_{C''}^P \circ \iota_{Q''}^{C''} \circ \theta_* \circ \varphi_* \\ \iota_{C''}^P \circ \sigma'' \circ \iota_{Q''}^{C''} \circ \theta_* \circ \varphi_* &= \xi \circ \iota_{Q'}^{N_P(Q')} \circ \varphi_* \\ \iota_{N_P(Q')}^P \circ \iota_{Q'}^{N_P(Q')} \circ \varphi_* &= \varphi \end{aligned} \qquad 4.9.1,$$

it follows from Proposition 4.2 that we have a natural map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{f}_{N_P(Q'),\xi})^\circ \circ \mathfrak{f}_{C'',\iota_{C''}^P \circ \sigma''} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{N_P(Q),\zeta} \qquad 4.9.2,$$

which proves that $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible since $Q'' \neq C''$.

Thus, we may assume that Q is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing. Now, we claim that if $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible then $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ contains ${}^{\varphi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$; we may assume that $Q \neq P$ and then it follows from Proposition 4.2 that there are a family $\mathfrak{F} = \{\mathfrak{f}_{Q_i,\varphi_i}\}_{i\in\Delta_n}$ of indecomposable functors in $\mathfrak{H}$ and, for any $i \in \Delta_n$, a non-bijective $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$-morphism $\tilde\psi_i : Q \to Q_i$ fulfilling

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde\iota_Q^P &= \tilde\iota_{Q_0}^P \circ \tilde\psi_0 \\ \tilde\varphi_{i-1} \circ \tilde\psi_{i-1} &= \tilde\iota_{Q_i}^P \circ \tilde\psi_i \text{ for any } 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \tilde\varphi_n \circ \tilde\psi_n &= \tilde\varphi \end{aligned} \qquad 4.9.3;$$

for any $i \in \Delta_n$, consider the subgroups of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$

$$\tilde R_i = {}^{(\psi_i)^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{Q_i}\big(\psi_i(Q)\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde T_i = {}^{(\psi_i)^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\psi_i(Q)\big) \qquad 4.9.4$$

where ψ_i is a representative of $\tilde\psi_i$, and $(\psi_i)^*$ denotes the inverse of the isomorphism $Q \cong \psi_i(Q)$ determined by ψ_i .

Note that $\tilde R_i \subset \tilde T_i$ and, since Q is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing, it is clear that we have $\tilde R_i \neq \{1\}$; moreover, it follows from equalities 4.9.4 that we have $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q) = \tilde T_0$ and that $\tilde T_{i+1}$ still contains $\tilde R_i$ for any $i \in \Delta_n$, where we set

$$\tilde T_{n+1} = {}^{\varphi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \qquad 4.9.5.$$

Now, arguing by induction on i , we claim that $\tilde M_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ contains $\tilde T_i$; it is clear that $\tilde M_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ contains a Sylow p-subgroup of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$ containing $\tilde T_0$; moreover, if $\tilde M_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ contains $\tilde T_i$ and $\tilde M_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)^{\tilde\tau}$ contains $\tilde T_{i+1}$ then $\tilde R_i$ is contained in the intersection $\tilde M_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q) \cap \tilde M_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)^{\tilde\tau}$, which implies that $\tilde\tau$ belongs to $\tilde M_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$, proving our claim.

Conversely, we claim that if $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible then $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ does not contain ${}^{\varphi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$; indeed, if $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible then, with the notation and the terminology in [4, 5.7], the *Alperin $\mathcal{F}$-fusion* $\varphi - \iota_Q^P$ in the $\mathbb{Z}$-free module over $\mathcal{F}(P,Q)$ is *$\mathcal{F}$-irreducible,* so that the respective images $\bar\varphi$ and $\bar\iota_Q^P$ of φ and ι_Q^P in $\overline{\mathcal{F}(P,Q)}$ do not coincide; then, it follows from [4, Theorem 5.11] that there is $\tilde\tau \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q) - \tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ such that

$$\bar\varphi = \bar\iota_Q^P{\cdot}\tilde\tau = \overline{\iota_Q^P\circ\tau} \qquad 4.9.6$$

where τ is a representative of $\tilde\tau$, which amounts to saying that the *Alperin $\mathcal{F}$-fusion* $\varphi\circ\tau^{-1} - \iota_Q^P$ is *$\mathcal{F}$-reducible* or, equivalently, that the functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi\circ\tau^{-1}}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible. Thus, by the argument above, $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ contains

$${}^{(\varphi\circ\tau^{-1})_*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) = {}^{\tau\circ\varphi_*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \qquad 4.9.7,$$

so that $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)^{\tilde\tau}$ contains ${}^{\varphi_*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ which is a nontrivial p-group, and therefore $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ can not contain ${}^{\varphi_*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ since $\tilde\tau$ does not belong to $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$; this proves our claim.

More generally, for any $\tilde\sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q) - \tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ such that $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)^{\tilde\sigma}$ contains ${}^{\varphi_*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$, on the one hand $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\iota_Q^P\circ\sigma}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible since $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ cannot contain ${}^{\sigma_*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P(Q)$, and on the other hand, since ${}^{(\varphi\circ\sigma^{-1})_*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ is contained in $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$, $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi\circ\sigma^{-1}}$ is $\mathfrak{H}$-reducible; but, it is clear that we have a natural map

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi\circ\sigma^{-1}} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\iota_Q^P\circ\sigma} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{P,\mathrm{id}_P} \qquad 4.9.8;$$

hence, $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\iota_Q^P\circ\sigma}$ are exchangeable.

Conversely assume that, for an element $\tilde\sigma$ in $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q) - \tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$, the $\mathfrak{H}$-irreducible functors $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\iota_Q^P\circ\sigma}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ are exchangeable; then, it follows from Proposition 4.5 that there is an automorphism θ of Q such that the functors $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi\circ\theta\circ\sigma^{-1}}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\iota_Q^P\circ\theta^{-1}}$ are $\mathfrak{H}$-reducibles; hence, θ belongs to $\mathcal{F}(Q)$ and $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ contains the groups

$${}^{\theta}\mathcal{F}_P(Q) \quad\text{and}\quad {}^{(\varphi\circ\theta\circ\sigma^{-1})_*}\mathcal{F}\big(\varphi(Q)\big) = {}^{\sigma\circ\theta^{-1}\circ\varphi_*}\mathcal{F}\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \qquad 4.9.9,$$

so that θ belongs to $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ and then $\tilde{M}_{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)^{\tilde\sigma}$ contains ${}^{\varphi_*}\mathcal{F}\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$. We are done.

5. Associate Burnside algebras

5.1. Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}_P$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module over the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable P-sets; it is clear that any object in P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ determines a *positive* element of $\mathcal{B}_P$ and that the *disjoint union* of objects in P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ corresponds to the sum in $\mathcal{B}_P$; similarly, the *inner* product of objects in P-$\mathfrak{Set}$ induces an *associative and distributive product* in $\mathcal{B}_P$, so that $\mathcal{B}_P$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra — called the *Burnside $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra* of P .

5.2. *Mutatis mutandis*, we denote by $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module over the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable functors in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ — called *indecomposable elements of* $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$. Once again, the *disjoint union* and the *composition of functors* induce on $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ an *associative* $\mathbb{Z}$*-algebra* structure— called the *double Burnside* $\mathbb{Z}$*-algebra* of P. Actually, we are mostly interested in the $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ determined by the functors preserving the *projective* P-sets; that is to say, according to 2.10 and 2.11 above, $P\times P$ acts on the set of pairs (Q,φ), where Q is a subgroup of P and $\varphi : Q \to P$ a group homomorphism, sending (Q,φ) to $(Q^u, \kappa_v\circ\varphi\circ\kappa_u)$ for any $u,v\in P$, where $\kappa_u : Q^u \to Q$ and $\kappa_v : P\to P$ respectively denote the conjugation by u and by v, and, denoting by $\mathcal{X}$ a set of representatives for the set of $P\times P$-orbits under this action and by $f_{Q,\varphi}$ the isomorphism class of the functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$, it is clear that the family $\{f_{Q,\varphi}\}_{(Q,\varphi)\in\mathcal{X}}$ is the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable functors in $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}$.

5.3. It is clear that $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ acts on the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathcal{B}_P$ or, equivalently, that $\mathcal{B}_P$ becomes a $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$-module; moreover, the functor $\mathfrak{m}^P : P\text{-}\mathfrak{Set} \to \mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ in 2.9 above clearly induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra homomorphism

$$m^P : \mathcal{B}_P \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{P\times P} \qquad 5.3.1$$

mapping any $x\in\mathcal{B}_P$ on the element m_x of $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ acting on $\mathcal{B}_P$ *via* the multiplication by x; further, the auto-equivalence $\mathfrak{t}$ of $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ in 2.8 above induces an *involutive anti-isomorphism* t on the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$, acting trivially on the image of m^P. Similarly, the correspondence ℓ in 2.10 above induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra homomorphism

$$\ell : \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \qquad 5.3.2;$$

we call *length of* f the image of any $f\in\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}$.

5.4. More generally, if P' is another finite p-group, we denote by $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module over the set of isomorphism classes of *indecomposable* functors in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'}$ — called *indecomposable elements of* $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$; then, the composition of functors clearly induces a $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$-module structure on $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$ and, for a third finite p-group P'', a bilinear map

$$\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}\times\mathcal{B}_{P'\times P''} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{P\times P''} \qquad 5.4.1;$$

moreover, for any group homomorphism $\alpha : P'\to P$, it is clear that the functors $\mathfrak{res}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{ind}_\alpha$ in 2.5 above determine elements res_α in $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$ and ind_α in $\mathcal{B}_{P'\times P}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}^{+}_{P\times P'}$ the elements of $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$ determined by the functors in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'}$ or, equivalently, the elements with *non-negative* coefficients in the canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-basis.

5.5. We are interested in the following *partially defined* $\mathbb{Q}$*-valued scalar product* in $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$, defined by the natural maps in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'}$; if $f,g\in\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$ come from two functors $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'}$, we set

$$|f,g| = |\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f},\mathfrak{g})| \qquad 5.5.1;$$

note that, if $g'\in\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$ still comes from a functor $\mathfrak{g}'$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'}$ and $\mathfrak{f}$ is *indecomposable*, form isomorphism 2.7.3 we get

$$|f,g+g'| = |f,g| + |f,g'| \qquad 5.5.2,$$

which shows that, setting $|f,-g| = -|f,g|$, our definition can be extended to all the pairs (f,g) such that f is an *indecomposable element* and $g\in\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$. Moreover, with the notation above, if $f'\in\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$ also comes from a functor $\mathfrak{f}'$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P'}$, from isomorphism 2.2.2 we still get

$$|f+f',g| = |f,g||f',g| \qquad 5.5.3$$

and therefore our definition can be extended to all the pairs (f,g) such that f comes from a functor $\mathfrak{f}$ and g belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$. Finally, we define $|f,0| = 0$ for any $f\in\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$, and

$$|f-f',g| = |f,g|/|f',g| \qquad 5.5.4$$

for any $g\in\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}-\{0\}$ and any $f,f'\in\mathcal{B}^{+}_{P\times P'}$ such that $|f',g|\neq 0$.

5.6. Note that if $g\neq 0$ then $|0,g| = 1$ and clearly there is an indecomposable element f of $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$ such that $|f,g|\neq 0$. Moreover, for any $f,f',g\in\mathcal{B}_{P\times P'}$ equality 5.5.3 holds provided that all the terms are defined; indeed, this is clear if $g=0$; otherwise, there are $h,\bar h,h',\bar h'\in\mathcal{B}^{+}_{P\times P'}$ fulfilling

$$f = h-\bar h \quad , \quad f' = h'-\bar h' \quad , \quad |\bar h,g|\neq 0 \quad\text{and}\quad |\bar h',g|\neq 0 \qquad 5.6.1;$$

then, since $|\bar h+\bar h',g| = |\bar h,g||\bar h',g|\neq 0$, according to 5.5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f+f',g| &= |(h+h')-(\bar h+\bar h'),g| = |h+h',g|/|\bar h+\bar h',g| \\ &= |h,g||h',g|/|\bar h,g||\bar h',g| = \big(|h,g|/|\bar h,g|\big)\big(|h',g|/|\bar h',g|\big) \qquad 5.6.2. \\ &= |f,g||f',g| \end{aligned}$$

5.7. On the other hand, it follows from isomorphism 2.3.3 that, for any group homomorphism $\alpha\colon P'\to P$, any $f\in\mathcal{B}_{P''\times P'}$ and any $h\in\mathcal{B}_{P''\times P}$, we get

$$|\mathrm{ind}_\alpha\cdot f,h| = |f,\mathrm{res}_\alpha\cdot h| \qquad 5.7.1$$

provided that both members are defined; indeed, if f is *indecomposable* and comes from $\mathfrak{f}_D$ for some subgroup D of $P' \times P''$ then $\mathrm{ind}_\alpha{\cdot}f$ comes from $\mathfrak{f}_{(\alpha\times \mathrm{id}_{P''})(D)}$ and thus it is *indecomposable* too, so that the corresponding equality 5.7.1 holds for any $h \in \mathcal{B}_{P''\times P}$ (cf. equality 5.5.2); moreover, if $f = f' - f''$ for suitable $f', f'' \in \mathcal{B}^{+}_{P''\times P'}$, we have

$$|\mathrm{ind}_\alpha{\cdot}f'', h| = |f'', \mathrm{res}_\alpha{\cdot}h| \qquad 5.7.2$$

and whenever both members are not zero, we get

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathrm{ind}_\alpha{\cdot}f, h| &= |\mathrm{ind}_\alpha{\cdot}f', h|/|\mathrm{ind}_\alpha{\cdot}f'', h| \\ &= |f', \mathrm{res}_\alpha{\cdot}h|/|f'', \mathrm{res}_\alpha{\cdot}h| = |f, \mathrm{res}_\alpha{\cdot}h|\end{aligned} \qquad 5.7.3.$$

5.8. Any *divisible $\mathfrak{H}_P$-category* $\mathfrak{H}$ introduced in 3.4 clearly determines a $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ which is t-stable — here the word “symmetric” would be misleading — and contains the $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra determined by $\mathfrak{H}_P$ — noted $\mathcal{H}_P$; it is clear that $\mathcal{H}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module over the set of *indecomposable elements* in $\mathcal{H}$. Let us say that an *indecomposable* element f is *maximal* in $\mathcal{H}$ if it comes from a *maximal* indecomposable functor in $\mathfrak{H}$ or, equivalently, we have $|f, g| = 0$ for any *indecomposable element* g of $\mathcal{H}$ different from f. We already know that any *divisible $\mathfrak{H}_P$-category* $\mathfrak{H}$ comes from a *divisible P-category* $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ (cf. Proposition 3.3) and we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$ the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra — called *Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra* of $\mathcal{F}$; actually, these $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebras can be directly described by rewriting Proposition 3.3 in our new context.

Proposition 5.9. *A $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}$ comes from a divisible P-category if and only if it is t-stable, contains $\mathcal{H}_P$, and fulfills the following condition*

5.9.1. *Any indecomposable element $f \in \mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ such that $|f, \mathcal{H}| \neq \{0\}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof: If $\mathcal{F}$ is a divisible P-category then it is clear that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$ contains $\mathcal{H}_P$ and is t-stable. Let f be an indecomposable element of $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ such that $|f, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}| \neq \{0\}$; then, f comes from an indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}$ in $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and, by the very definition of the partial scalar product in $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$, there exists a natural map from $\mathfrak{f}$ to some functor in $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and therefore, according to statement 3.2.1, $\mathfrak{f}$ is an object in $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$, so that f belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Conversely, let us denote by $\mathfrak{H}$ the *full* subcategory of $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ over the objects having their isomorphism class in $\mathcal{H}$; it is clear that $\mathfrak{H}$ is symmetric, contains $\mathfrak{H}_P$ and is closed with respect to disjoint unions and composition; moreover, since we assume that $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}$, all the functors in $\mathfrak{H}$ preserve the *projective* P-sets. Thus, according to Proposition 3.3, it suffices to prove that $\mathfrak{H}$ fulfills condition 3.3.1; let $\mathfrak{f}$ be a functor in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$ admitting a natural map to some functor in $\mathfrak{H}$; once again according to the very definition of the scalar product in $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$, denoting by f the isomorphism class of $\mathfrak{f}$, we have $|f,\mathcal{H}| \neq \{0\}$; in particular, for any *proper* decomposition $f = f' + f''$ such that $f', f'' \in \mathcal{B}^+_{P\times P}$, we still have $|f',\mathcal{H}| \neq \{0\} \neq |f'',\mathcal{H}|$; thus, arguing by induction on $\ell(f)$, condition 5.9.1 implies that f belongs to $\mathcal{H}$ and therefore $\mathfrak{f}$ is indeed a functor in $\mathfrak{H}$, We are done.

5.10. Similarly, most of the statements in section 4 can be easily translated to the new context; namely, if $\mathcal{H}$ is a *Hecke* $\mathbb{Z}$*-algebra*, we say that an indecomposable element $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is $\mathcal{H}$*-irreducible* if $\ell(f) \neq 1$ and, for any family $\{f_i\}_{i\in\Delta_n}$ of indecomposable elements of $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$|f, \prod_{i\in\Delta_n} f_i| \neq 0 \qquad 5.10.1,$$

we have $\ell(f) = \ell(f_i)$ for some $i \in \Delta_n$; then, two $\mathcal{H}$*-irreducible* elements f and f' are said *exchangeable* if we have

$$|f', gfh| \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |f, g'f'h'| \neq 0 \qquad 5.10.2$$

for suitable indecomposable elements $g, h, g', h' \in \mathcal{H}$ *not* $\mathcal{H}$*-irreducible*; at this point , it follows from Proposition 4.6 that

5.10.3 *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a set of representatives for the exchangeability classes of $\mathcal{H}$-irreducible elements. For any indecomposable element $f \in \mathcal{H}$ there is a family $\{f_i\}_{i\in\Delta_n}$ of elements in $\mathcal{E}$ and an indecomposable element $g \in \mathcal{H}$ of length one fulfilling*

$$|f, g\prod_{i\in\Delta_n} f_i| \neq 0 \qquad .$$

5.11. We see below that the fact that a $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ is the *Hecke algebra* of some *Frobenius P-category* can be decided from the own structure of $\mathcal{H}$. First of all, we state a new version of Proposition 3.9; as above, let us call *positive* any element of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{B}^+_{P\times P}$; moreover, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a *divisible P-category*, let us denote by $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F}$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule generated by the elements of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$ coming from the indecomposable functors $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ such that Q is *not* $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing.

Lemma 5.12. *$\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F}$ is a two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof: Since $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F}$ are t-stable, it suffices to prove that, for any indecomposable functors $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}_\mathcal{F}$ such that Q is not $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing, the element of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$ determined by the composition $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F}$; but, choosing a set of representatives W for $Q\backslash P/\psi(R)$, with the notation in 2.13 above we have (cf. 3.1.1)

$$\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi} = \bigsqcup_{w\in W} \mathfrak{f}_{U_w,\varphi\circ\kappa_w\circ\psi_w} \qquad 5.12.1$$

and therefore if U_w is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing then Q is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing too [4, 4.8].

Proposition 5.13. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is a Frobenius P-category, for any indecomposable element f in $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F}$ there is a unique maximal indecomposable element $\hat{f}$ in $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$ fulfilling $|f, \hat{f}| \neq 0$. Denote by*

$$e_\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F} \tag{5.13.1}$$

the $\mathbb{Z}$-module endomorphism sending $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F}$ to $\{0\}$ and mapping any indecomposable element $f \in \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F}$ on $\dfrac{\ell(f)}{\ell(\hat{f})}{\cdot}\hat{f}$. For any positive elements g and h of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$, the difference $e_\mathcal{F}\big(e_\mathcal{F}(g)e_\mathcal{F}(h)\big) - e_\mathcal{F}(gh)$ is also positive.

Proof: It follows from Proposition 3.9 that, if f comes from an indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}_\mathcal{F}$ such that Q is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing, there is a unique isomorphism class $\hat{f}$ of *maximal* indecomposable functors $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{Q},\hat{\varphi}}$ admitting a natural map $\mu : \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \to \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{Q},\hat{\varphi}}$ or, equivalently, fulfilling $|f, \hat{f}| \neq 0$.

Consider a second *indecomposable* element g in $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{F}$, coming from an indecomposable functor $\mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ in $\mathfrak{H}_\mathcal{F}$, and the corresponding isomorphism class $\hat{g}$ of *maximal* indecomposable functors $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{R},\hat{\psi}}$ admitting a natural map $\nu : \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi} \to \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{R},\hat{\psi}}$; then, the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{Q},\hat{\varphi}} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi} \arrow[dr, "\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{Q},\hat{\varphi}} * \nu"] & \\
\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi} \arrow[ur, "\mu * \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}"] \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr, "\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} * \nu"'] & & \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{Q},\hat{\varphi}} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{R},\hat{\psi}} \\
 & \mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{R},\hat{\psi}} \arrow[ur, "\mu * \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{R},\hat{\psi}}"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5.13.3}$$

supplies a natural map from $\mathfrak{f}_{Q,\varphi} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{R,\psi}$ to $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{Q},\hat{\varphi}} \circ \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{R},\hat{\psi}}$; actually, according to 2.11, we may assume that $Q \subset \hat{Q}$, that $\psi(R) \subset \hat{\psi}(\hat{R})$, and that this natural map comes from the canonical map

$$Q\backslash P/\psi(R) \longrightarrow \hat{Q}\backslash P/\hat{\psi}(\hat{R}) \tag{5.13.4}$$

and from a family of natural maps

$$\eta_w : \mathfrak{f}_{U_w, \varphi\circ\kappa_w\circ\psi_w} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{\hat{U}_{\hat{w}}, \hat{\varphi}\circ\hat{\kappa}_{\hat{w}}\circ\hat{\psi}_{\hat{w}}} \tag{5.13.5}$$

where, borrowing notation from 2.13 above, we have chosen sets of representatives $\hat{W}$ for $\hat{Q}\backslash P/\hat{\psi}(\hat{R})$, X for $\hat{Q}/Q$ and Y for $\hat{\psi}(\hat{R})/\psi(R)$, and w runs over $W = X^{-1}{\cdot}\hat{W}{\cdot}Y$ determining $\hat{w} \in \hat{W}$.

Moreover, if U_w is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing then it follows again from 2.11 that $\hat{U}_{\hat{w}}$ is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing too; in this case, the isomorphism class $h_{\hat{w}}$ of *maximal* indecomposable functors admitting a natural map from $\mathfrak{f}_{\hat{U}_{\hat{w}}, \hat{\varphi}\circ\hat{\kappa}_{\hat{w}}\circ\hat{\psi}_{\hat{w}}}$ coincides with the isomorphism class of *maximal* indecomposable functors admitting a natural map from $\mathfrak{f}_{U_w, \varphi\circ\kappa_w\circ\psi_w}$.

Consequently, denoting by $W^{\rm sc} \subset W$ and by $\hat W^{\rm sc} \subset \hat W$ the corresponding subsets fulfilling these conditions, by the very definition of $e_{\mathcal F}$ we get

$$e_{\mathcal F}(fg) = \sum_{w\in W^{\rm sc}} \frac{|P\colon U_w|}{\ell(h_{\hat w})}\cdot h_{\hat w} \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\mathcal F}(\hat f\hat g) = \sum_{\hat w\in \hat W^{\rm sc}} \frac{|P\colon \hat U_{\hat w}|}{\ell(h_{\hat w})}\cdot h_{\hat w} \qquad 5.13.6;$$

but note that, if the subset $X^{-1}\cdot\hat w\cdot Y \subset W$ has an element in $\hat W^{\rm sc}$, then this subset is actually contained in $\hat W^{\rm sc}$; hence, we still get

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathcal F}(fg) &= \sum_{\hat w\in W^{\rm sc}\cap \hat W} \Big(\sum_{w\in X^{-1}\cdot\hat w\cdot Y} \frac{|P\colon U_w|}{\ell(h_{\hat w})}\Big)\cdot h_{\hat w} \\ e_{\mathcal F}\big(e_{\mathcal F}(f)e_{\mathcal F}(g)\big) &= |\hat Q\colon Q||\hat R\colon R| \sum_{\hat w\in \hat W^{\rm sc}} \frac{|P\colon \hat U_{\hat w}|}{\ell(h_{\hat w})}\cdot h_{\hat w} \end{aligned} \qquad 5.13.7;$$

moreover, for any $\hat w \in W^{\rm sc} \cap \hat W$, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w\in X^{-1}\cdot\hat w\cdot Y} |P\colon U_w| &= \sum_{w\in X^{-1}\cdot\hat w\cdot Y} \frac{|P||Q\cdot w\cdot\psi(R)|}{|Q||R|} \\ &= \frac{|P||\hat Q\cdot\hat w\cdot\hat\psi(\hat R)|}{|Q||R|} = |\hat Q\colon Q||\hat R\colon R||P\colon \hat U_{\hat w}| \end{aligned} \qquad 5.13.8;$$

since $\hat W^{\rm sc}$ contains $W^{\rm sc}\cap\hat W$, the difference $e_{\mathcal F}\big(e_{\mathcal F}(g)e_{\mathcal F}(h)\big)-e_{\mathcal F}(gh)$ is indeed positive. We are done.

6. The Hecke $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebras of Frobenius P-categories

6.1. Let $\mathcal H$ be a t-stable $\mathbb Z$-subalgebra of $\mathcal B^{\rm pp}_{P\times P}$ containing $\mathcal H_P$ and fulfilling condition 5.9.1; for any $f \in \mathcal H$, shortly we set $f^\circ = t(f)$. Consider the *divisible P-category* $\mathcal F = \mathcal F_{\mathcal H}$ determined by $\mathcal H$ (cf. Proposition 5.9); we see below that whenever $\mathcal F$ is a Frobenius P-category, the extended $\mathbb Z_{(p)}$-algebra $\mathcal H_{(p)}$ has a quite particular structure and that, conversely, some of these particularities of $\mathcal H_{(p)}$ imply that $\mathcal F$ is a Frobenius P-category. For this purpose, let us consider the *evaluation* $\mathbb Z$-module homomorphism

$$v_{\mathcal H} : \mathcal H \longrightarrow \mathcal B_P \qquad 6.1.1$$

mapping $f \in \mathcal H$ on $f(1)$ where 1 denotes the isomorphism class of the *trivial* P-set; then, denote by $\mathcal K_{\mathcal H}$ the kernel of this homomorphism, which is clearly a left-hand ideal.

6.2. Moreover, consider the *contravariant* functors from $\mathcal F$ to the category $\mathbb Z\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}$ of $\mathbb Z$-modules respectively mapping any subgroup Q of P on $\mathcal B_{Q\times P}$ and $\mathcal B_{P\times Q}$, and any $\mathcal F$-morphism $\varphi\colon R \to Q$ on the corresponding "multiplication" by ${\rm res}_\varphi$ (cf. 5.4.1); then, identifying the *inverse limits* of these functors with their image in $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$, we denote by $(\mathcal{B}_{P\times P})^{\mathcal{F}}$ their intersection and set

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{H} \cap (\mathcal{B}_{P\times P})^{\mathcal{F}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})^{+} = \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{B}^{+}_{P\times P} \qquad 6.2.1.$$

Analogously, we respectively denote by $(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}} \subset \mathcal{B}_P$ and by $\mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F}$ the *inverse* and the *direct limits* of the functors from $\mathcal{F}^{\circ}$ and from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathbb{Z}$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ sending any subgroup Q of P to $\mathcal{B}_Q$ and any $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\varphi : R \to Q$ to the actions of res_φ and of ind_φ; moreover, we denote by $\bar{v}_{\mathcal{H}}$ the composition of $v_{\mathcal{H}}$ with the canonical map $\mathcal{B}_P \to \mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F}$ and by $\bar{s}_Q \in \mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F}$ the image of the isomorphism class s_Q of the P-set P/Q for any subgroup Q of P.

6.3. More explicitly, $f \in \mathcal{H}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ if and only if we have

$$\mathrm{res}_\varphi \cdot f = \mathrm{res}_{\iota^P_Q} \cdot f \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{res}_\varphi \cdot f^{\circ} = \mathrm{res}_{\iota^P_Q} \cdot f^{\circ} \qquad 6.3.1$$

for any subgroup Q of P and any $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(P,Q)$; in order to relate these equalities with the *partial scalar product* in $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$, let us explicit the set of indecomposable elements of $\mathcal{H}$; for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{S}_n$ be a set of representatives for the set of $\mathcal{F}$-isomorphism classes of subgroups Q of P of index p^n, in such a way that any element of $\mathcal{S}_n$ is *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$ [4, 2.6]; moreover, for any $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{F}(P,Q)$, let us set

$$f_{\varphi,\varphi'} = \mathrm{ind}_\varphi \cdot \mathrm{res}_{\varphi'} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_{\varphi,\varphi'}(Q) = \{(\varphi(u), \varphi'(u))\}_{u\in Q} \qquad 6.3.2;$$

note that $\bar{v}_{\mathcal{H}}(f_{\varphi,\varphi'}) = \bar{s}_Q$; finally, for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}_n$, let $\mathcal{D}_Q$ be a set of representatives in the product $\mathcal{F}(P,Q) \times \mathcal{F}(P,Q)$ for the set of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(Q)$-orbits in the product $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q) \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ through the *diagonal* action; we may assume that $(\iota^P_Q, \iota^P_Q) \in \mathcal{D}_Q$. Then, setting

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigsqcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{S}_n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} = \bigsqcup_{Q\in\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{D}_Q \qquad 6.3.4,$$

the family $\{f_{\varphi,\varphi'}\}_{(\varphi,\varphi')\in\mathcal{D}}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of indecomposable elements of $\mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 6.4. *An element f of $\mathcal{H}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ if and only if we have $|f_{\varphi,\varphi'}, f| = |m_{s_Q}, f|$ for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}$ and any $(\varphi,\varphi') \in \mathcal{D}_Q$. In particular, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ we have $f^{\circ} = f$.*

Proof: If f belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ then it follows from isomorphism 2.8.2 and equalities 5.7.1 and 6.3.1 that

$$|f_{\iota^P_Q,\varphi}, f| = |m_{s_Q}, f| \quad \text{and} \quad |f_{\varphi,\iota^P_Q}, f| = |m_{s_Q}, f| \qquad 6.4.1$$

and therefore, denoting by $\varphi^* : \varphi(Q) \cong Q$ the inverse of the isomorphism determined by φ, we still get

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{\varphi,\varphi'}, f| &= |f_{\iota^P_{\varphi(Q)},\varphi'\circ\varphi^*}, f| = |m_{s_{\varphi(Q)}}, f| \\ &= |f_{\iota^P_Q\circ\varphi^*,\iota^P_{\varphi(Q)}}, f| = |f_{\iota^P_Q,\varphi}, f| = |m_{s_Q}, f| \end{aligned} \qquad 6.4.2.$$

On the other hand, it follows from 5.6 that equalities 6.3.1 are equivalent to the equalities

$$|g, \mathrm{res}_{\varphi}\cdot f| = |g, \mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}\cdot f| \quad \text{and} \quad |g, \mathrm{res}_{\varphi}\cdot f^{\circ}| = |g, \mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}\cdot f^{\circ}| \qquad 6.4.3$$

for any indecomposable element g of $\mathcal{B}_{Q\times P}$; but, it is easily checked that all the members of these equalities vanish unless $g = \mathrm{ind}_{\theta}\cdot\mathrm{res}_{\iota_R^P}$ for some $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\theta : R \to Q$ (cf. 2.6.3), and then we get (cf. isomorphism 2.8.2 and equality 5.7.1)

$$\begin{gathered} |g, \mathrm{res}_{\varphi}\cdot f| = |f_{\varphi\circ\theta, \iota_R^P}, f| \quad \text{and} \quad |g, \mathrm{res}_{\iota_R^P}\cdot f| = |f_{\iota_R^P\circ\theta, \iota_R^P}, f| \\ |g, \mathrm{res}_{\varphi}\cdot f^{\circ}| = |f_{\iota_R^P, \varphi\circ\theta}, f| \quad \text{and} \quad |g, \mathrm{res}_{\iota_R^P}\cdot f^{\circ}| = |f_{\iota_R^P, \iota_R^P\circ\theta}, f| \end{gathered} \qquad 6.4.4\,;$$

consequently, equalities 6.3.1 follow from equalities 6.4.2.

In particular, equalities 6.4.2 force (cf. isomorphism 2.8.2)

$$|f_{\varphi,\varphi'}, f| = |f_{\varphi',\varphi}, f| = |f_{\varphi,\varphi'}, f^{\circ}| \qquad 6.4.5$$

and therefore, according to 5.6, we get $f = f^{\circ}$. We are done.

Proposition 6.5. *With the notation above,* $(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}$ *is a unitary* $\mathbb{Z}$*-subalgebra of* $\mathcal{B}_P$ *of* $\mathbb{Z}$*-rank equal to* $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}$*-subalgebra of* $\mathcal{H}$ *fulfilling* $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}\cdot\mathcal{B}_P \subset (\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}$, *and we have*

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}} = \{0\} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}} = (\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})^{+} - (\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})^{+} \qquad 6.5.1.$$

Moreover, any $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ *commutes with any* $g \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ *such that* $g^{\circ} = g$.

Proof: It is clear that $s \in \mathcal{B}_P$ belongs to $(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}$ if and only if we have

$$\mathrm{res}_{\varphi}(s) = \mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}(s) \qquad 6.5.2$$

for any subgroup Q of P and any $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(P,Q)$; since res_{φ} and $\mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}$ are both unitary $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra homomorphisms, $(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}$ is indeed a unitary $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}_P$. Moreover, equality 6.3.1 and the associativity of the “multiplication” show that $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ and that, for any $s \in \mathcal{B}_P$, we have

$$\mathrm{res}_{\varphi}\big(f(s)\big) = \mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}\big(f(s)\big) \qquad 6.5.3$$

which proves that $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}\cdot\mathcal{B}_P \subset (\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}$.

On the other hand, it is clear that the family $\{f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi} - m_{s_Q}\}_{(\iota_Q^P,\varphi)\in\mathcal{D}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and therefore the associativity of the “multiplication” and equalities 6.3.1 force $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}} = \{0\}$. In particular, for any $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ we get $f^2 = 0$ and therefore, setting

$$f = \sum_{(\varphi,\varphi')\in\mathcal{D}} z_{\varphi,\varphi'}\cdot f_{\varphi,\varphi'} \qquad 6.5.4$$

for suitable $z_{\varphi,\varphi'} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and choosing sets of representatives $W_{\psi,\psi'}^{\varphi,\varphi'}$ for the sets of double classes $\varphi'(Q)\backslash P/\psi(R)$ for any $(\varphi,\varphi') \in \mathcal{D}_Q$ and any $(\psi,\psi') \in \mathcal{D}_R$ where $Q, R \in \mathcal{S}$, we still have (cf. 3.1.1)

$$f^2 = \sum_{(\varphi,\varphi'),(\psi,\psi')\in\mathcal{D}} \ \sum_{w\in W_{\psi,\psi'}^{\varphi,\varphi'}} z_{\varphi,\varphi'} z_{\psi,\psi'}\cdot f_{\varphi\circ\varphi'^*_w\circ\kappa_w,\psi'\circ\psi^*_w} \qquad 6.5.5$$

where $\varphi'^* : \varphi'(Q) \cong Q$ and $\psi^* : \psi(R) \cong R$ denote the inverse of the respective isomorphisms determined by φ' and ψ , and we denote by

$$\varphi'^*_w : \varphi'(Q) \cap {}^w\psi(R) \longrightarrow Q \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^*_w : \varphi'(Q)^w \cap \psi(R) \longrightarrow R \qquad 6.5.6$$

the corresponding restrictions.

Thus, if we assume that $f \neq 0$ and choose $(\hat\eta, \hat\eta') \in \mathcal{D}_T$ fulfilling $z_{\hat\eta,\hat\eta'} \neq 0$ for a $T \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $|T|$ is maximal then, setting

$$f_T = \sum_{(\eta,\eta')\in\mathcal{D}_T} z_{\eta,\eta'}\cdot f_{\eta,\eta'} \qquad 6.5.7,$$

it is clear that $f^2 = 0$ forces $(f_T)^2 = 0$; but, since f belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$, it follows from Lemma 6.4 that for any $(\eta,\eta') \in \mathcal{D}_T$ we have

$$z_{\eta,\eta'}|f_{\eta,\eta'}, f_{\eta,\eta'}| = |f_{\eta,\eta'}, f_T| = |f_{\eta,\eta'}, f| = |m_{s_T}, f| = |m_{s_T}, f_T| \qquad 6.5.8,$$

so that either f_T or $-f_T$ is *positive* and therefore we get $(f_T)^2 \neq 0$, a contradiction; consequently, we finally get $K_{\mathcal{H}} \cap \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}} = \{0\}$.

Moreover, for any $g \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $g^\circ = g$, setting $g = g' + g''$ with $g' \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $g'' \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$, we still have $g'^\circ = g'$; then, the equality $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}\cdot\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}} = \{0\}$ and Lemma 6.4 above imply that

$$(fg')^\circ = g'^\circ f^\circ = g'f = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad fg'' = f^\circ g''^\circ = (g''f)^\circ = g''f \qquad 6.5.9,$$

so that $fg = gf$.

Now, we claim that, for any $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$, there are *positive* (cf. 5.11) elements f' and f'' of $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ such that we have $f = f' - f''$; that is to say, we claim that, setting

$$f = \sum_{(\varphi,\varphi')\in\mathcal{D}} z_{\varphi,\varphi'}\cdot f_{\varphi,\varphi'} \qquad 6.5.10,$$

there are $z'_{\varphi,\varphi'}, z''_{\varphi,\varphi'} \in \mathbb{N}$, where (φ,φ') runs over $\mathcal{D}$, such that we have $z_{\varphi,\varphi'} = z'_{\varphi,\varphi'} - z''_{\varphi,\varphi'}$ and that, setting

$$f' = \sum_{(\varphi,\varphi')\in\mathcal{D}} z'_{\varphi,\varphi'}\cdot f_{\varphi,\varphi'} \quad \text{and} \quad f'' = \sum_{(\varphi,\varphi')\in\mathcal{D}} z''_{\varphi,\varphi'}\cdot f_{\varphi,\varphi'} \qquad 6.5.11,$$

for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}$ and any $(\varphi,\varphi') \in \mathcal{D}_Q$ we still have (cf. Lemma 6.4)

$$|f_{\varphi,\varphi'}, f'| = |m_{s_Q}, f'| \quad \text{and} \quad |f_{\varphi,\varphi'} f''| = |m_{s_Q}, f''| \qquad 6.5.12.$$

We argue by induction on $|P{:}\,Q|$ and assume that, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have found $z'_{\varphi,\varphi'}, z''_{\varphi,\varphi'} \in \mathbb{N}$, where (φ,φ') runs over $\mathcal{D}_Q$ for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}$ fulfilling $|P{:}\,Q| < p^m$, such that we have $z_{\varphi,\varphi'} = z'_{\varphi,\varphi'} - z''_{\varphi,\varphi'}$ and that, setting

$$\begin{aligned} f'_m &= \sum_Q \sum_{(\varphi,\varphi')\in\mathcal{D}_Q} z'_{\varphi,\varphi'}{\cdot} f_{\varphi,\varphi'} \\ f''_m &= \sum_Q \sum_{(\varphi,\varphi')\in\mathcal{D}_Q} z''_{\varphi,\varphi'}{\cdot} f_{\varphi,\varphi'} \end{aligned} \qquad 6.5.13$$

where Q runs over the elements of $\mathcal{S}$ fulfilling $|P{:}\,Q| < p^m$, we still have

$$|f_{\varphi,\varphi'}, f'_m| = |m_{s_Q}, f'_m| \quad \text{and} \quad |f_{\varphi,\varphi'} f''_m| = |m_{s_Q}, f'_m| \qquad 6.5.14$$

for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}$ fulfilling $|P{:}\,Q| < p^m$, and any $(\varphi,\varphi') \in \mathcal{D}_Q$.

Thus, since f belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$, it follows from Lemma 6.4 that for any $R \in \mathcal{S}_m$ and any $(\psi,\psi') \in \mathcal{D}_R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f'_m - f''_m| + z_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}| \\ &\qquad\qquad = |f_{\psi,\psi'}, f'_m - f''_m| + z_{\psi,\psi'}|f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_{\psi,\psi'}| \end{aligned} \qquad 6.5.15;$$

moreover, we know that (cf. 3.6.1 and 5.5.1)

$$|f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_{\psi,\psi'}| = \big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big| \qquad 6.5.16$$

and therefore equalities 6.5.15 considered in $\mathbb{Q}$ are obviously equivalent to

$$z_{\psi,\psi'} = \frac{|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_m| - |f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_m|}{\big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} + z_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}\frac{\big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(R)\big)\big|}{\big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} \qquad 6.5.17;$$

but, up to a suitable modification of our choice of $\mathcal{S}_m$ in 6.3 above, we may assume that the order of $\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)$ divides the order of $\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(R)\big)$; then, the order of $\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)$ also divides $|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_m| - |f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_m|$.

At this point, we clearly can choose $z'_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, z''_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P} \in \mathbb{N}$ in such a way that we have $z_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P} = z'_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P} - z''_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}$ and that the integers

$$\begin{aligned} z'_{\psi,\psi'} &= \frac{|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_m| - |f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_m|}{\big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} + z'_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}\frac{\big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(R)\big)\big|}{\big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} \\ z''_{\psi,\psi'} &= \frac{|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_m| - |f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_m|}{\big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} + z''_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}\frac{\big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(R)\big)\big|}{\big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} \end{aligned} \qquad 6.5.18$$

are all *positive*; then equalities 6.5.16 imply that $z_{\psi,\psi'} = z'_{\psi,\psi'} - z''_{\psi,\psi'}$. Hence, we have found

$$\begin{aligned} g'_m &= \sum_{R\in\mathcal{S}_m} \sum_{(\psi,\psi')\in\mathcal{D}_R} z'_{\psi,\psi'}{\cdot}f_{\psi,\psi'} \\ g''_m &= \sum_{R\in\mathcal{S}_m} \sum_{(\psi,\psi')\in\mathcal{D}_R} z''_{\psi,\psi'}{\cdot}f_{\psi,\psi'} \end{aligned} \qquad 6.6.19$$

such that, setting $f'_{m+1} = f'_m + g'_m$ and $f''_{m+1} = f''_m + g''_m$, we still have

$$|f_{\varphi,\varphi'}, f'_{m+1}| = |m_{s_Q}, f'_{m+1}| \quad \text{and} \quad |f_{\varphi,\varphi'} f''_{m+1}| = |m_{s_Q}, f'_{m+1}| \qquad 6.5.20$$

for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}$ fulfilling $|P{:}\,Q| \le p^m$ and any $(\varphi,\varphi') \in \mathcal{D}_Q$. This proves our claim above.

Finally, it remains to prove that the $\mathbb{Z}$-ranks of $(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}$ and of $\mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F}$ coincide. For any subgroup Q of P , it is quite clear that the bilinear map

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_Q \times \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{B}_Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \qquad 6.5.21$$

sending $(1\otimes t, 1\otimes t')$ to $|\mathrm{Hom}_Q(t,t')|$ for any $t,t' \in \mathcal{B}_Q$ determines a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear isomorphism between $\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{B}_Q$ and its *dual* $(\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{B}_Q)^*$; but, for any $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $\varphi{:}\, R \to Q$, it is easily checked that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} (\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{B}_R)^* \arrow[r, "(\mathrm{res}_\varphi)^*"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\wr\|"] & (\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{B}_Q)^* \arrow[d, phantom, "\wr\|"] \\ \mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{B}_R \arrow[r, "\mathrm{ind}_\varphi"] & \mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{B}_Q \end{tikzcd} \qquad 6.5.22;$$

hence, since the *dual* of an *inverse limit* of some functor to $\mathbb{Q}$-$\mathfrak{mod}$ is the *direct limit* of the *dual* of this functor, we get a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear isomorphism and we are done

$$\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F} \cong \big(\mathbb{Q}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}\big)^* \qquad 6.5.23.$$

We are done.

Theorem 6.6. *With the notation above, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a Frobenius P-category then $(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})_{(p)}$ is a unitary $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_{(p)}$ containing $m^P\big((\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}\big)$ and we have*

$$(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}} + \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})_{(p)} \cong (\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}})_{(p)} \rtimes \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)} \qquad 6.6.1.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ centralizes $m^P\big((\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}\big)$. In particular, $v_{\mathcal{H}}$ determines a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)} \cong (\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)} \qquad 6.6.2.$$

Remark 6.7. For any $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$, since $f(s)$ belongs to $(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}$ for any $s \in \mathcal{B}_P$, if $s' \in \mathcal{B}_P$ then we have

$$f\big(f(s)\,s'\big) = (f\,m_{f(s)})(s') = (m_{f(s)}f)(s') = f(s)f(s') \qquad 6.7.1$$

which amounts to saying that f fulfills the *Frobenius condition* introduced in [6]. Moreover, the isomorphism $(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})_{(p)} \cong (\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)}$ shows that $(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})_{(p)}$ contains a unique nonzero idempotent which coincides with the idempotent exhibit in [5].

Proof: First of all we claim that, for any $\bar{s} \in \mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F}$ there is $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)}$ such that $\bar{v}_{\mathcal{H}}(f) = \bar{s}$; with the notation in 6.3 above, for suitable $z_R \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\bar{s} = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sum_{R\in\mathcal{S}_n} z_R{\cdot}\bar{s}_R \qquad 6.6.3$$

and we set $\bar{s}_n = \sum_{m\leq n}\sum_{R\in\mathcal{S}_m} z_R{\cdot}\bar{s}_R$.

Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a family of elements of $\mathcal{H}_{(p)}$ inductively defined as follows. We set

$$f_0 = \frac{z_P}{|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P)|}\cdot\sum_{\tilde{\sigma}\in\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P)} f_{\sigma,\mathrm{id}_P} \qquad 6.6.4,$$

so that we have $\bar{v}_{\mathcal{H}}(f_0) = \bar{s}_0$ and

$$|f_{\mathrm{id}_P,\mathrm{id}_P}, f_0| = |f_{\sigma,\sigma'}, f_0| \qquad 6.6.5$$

for any $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{F}(P)$ (cf. 6.3). If $n \geq 1$ and $m < n$, we may assume that f_m belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{(p)}$, that $\bar{v}_{\mathcal{H}}(f_m) = \bar{x}_m$ and that we have

$$|f_{\iota_Q^P,\iota_Q^P}, f_m| = |f_{\varphi,\varphi'}, f_m| \qquad 6.6.6$$

for any $Q \in \mathcal{S}_m$ and any $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathcal{D}_Q$. Then we claim that for any $R \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and any $(\psi,\psi') \in \mathcal{D}_R$, we can choose $k_{\psi,\psi'} \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ fulfilling the following equalities

$$\begin{gathered}|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_{n-1}| + k_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}| \\ = |f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_{n-1}| + k_{\psi,\psi'}|f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_{\psi,\psi'}| \\ \sum_{(\psi,\psi')\in\mathcal{D}_R} k_{\psi,\psi'} = z_R\end{gathered} \qquad 6.6.7.$$

Since we have (cf. 3.6.1 and 5.5.1)

$$|f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_{\psi,\psi'}| = \big|\bar{N}_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big| \qquad 6.6.8,$$

equalities 6.6.7 considered in $\mathbb{Q}$ are obviously equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\psi,\psi'} &= \frac{|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_{n-1}| - |f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_{n-1}|}{\big|\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} + k_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}\frac{\big|\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(R)\big)\big|}{\big|\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} \\ k_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P} &\sum_{(\psi,\psi')\in\mathcal{D}_R}\frac{\big|\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(R)\big)\big|}{\big|\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} \\ &= z_R - \sum_{(\psi,\psi')\in\mathcal{D}_R}\frac{|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_{n-1}| - |f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_{n-1}|}{\big|\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} \end{aligned} \qquad 6.6.9.$$

But, it follows from Lemmas 6.8 and 6.9 below that $\big|\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|$ divides $\big|\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(R)\big)\big|$ for any pair $(\psi,\psi')\in\mathcal{D}_R$ and that the sum

$$q_R = \sum_{(\psi,\psi')\in\mathcal{D}_R}\frac{\big|\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(R)\big)\big|}{\big|\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\big|} \qquad 6.6.10$$

is an integer prime to p. Moreover, since $\mathcal{F}$ is a Frobenius P-category, it follows from [4, condition 2.8.2] and again from Lemma 6.8 below that there is a group homomorphism

$$\zeta\times\zeta' : N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big) \longrightarrow P\times P \qquad 6.6.11$$

which maps $\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)$ onto $\Delta(R)$ and therefore it fulfills

$$(\zeta\times\zeta')\Big(N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)\Big) \subset N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(R)\big) \qquad 6.6.12;$$

At this point, since we have $k{\cdot}f_{n-1}\in\mathcal{H}$ for a suitable $k\in\mathbb{Z}-p\mathbb{Z}$, we still have $k{\cdot}f_{n-1} = g - g'$ where g and g' are the isomorphism classes of functors $\mathfrak{f}_\Omega$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{\Omega'}$ in $\mathfrak{H}_\mathcal{F}$ for some $P\times P$-sets Ω and Ω'. Consider the $N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(R)\big)$-sets $\Omega^{\Delta(R)}$ and $\Omega'^{\Delta(R)}$, which become $N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)$-sets *via* the group homomorphism $\zeta\times\zeta'$, and the $N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)$-sets $\Omega^{\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)}$ and $\Omega'^{\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)}$; then, for any nontrivial subgroup $\bar D$ of $\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)$, denoting by D the converse image of $\bar D$ in $N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)$, the fixed points of $\bar D$ in

$$\Omega^{\Delta(R)} \quad , \quad \Omega'^{\Delta(R)} \quad , \quad \Omega^{\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega'^{\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)} \qquad 6.6.13$$

respectively coincide with

$$\Omega^{(\zeta\times\zeta')(D)} \quad , \quad \Omega'^{(\zeta\times\zeta')(D)} \quad , \quad \Omega^D \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega'^D \qquad 6.6.14,$$

and therefore it follows from equalities 6.6.6 and from the fact that g and g' belong to $\mathcal{H}$ that we have (cf. 2.6.3 and 5.5)

$$\begin{aligned}|\Omega^{(\zeta\times\zeta')(D)}| - |\Omega'^{(\zeta\times\zeta')(D)}| &= |f_{(\zeta\times\zeta')(D)}, g| - |f_{(\zeta\times\zeta')(D)}, g'| \\ &= |f_{(\zeta\times\zeta')(D)}, k{\cdot}f_{n-1}| \\ &= |f_D, k{\cdot}f_{n-1}| = |\Omega^D| - |\Omega'^D|\end{aligned} \qquad 6.6.15$$

where $f_{(\zeta\times\zeta')(D)}$ and f_D respectively denote the isomorphism classes of the functors $\mathfrak{f}_{(\zeta\times\zeta')(D)}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_D$.

This is clearly equivalent to saying that the multiplicities of any non-regular indecomposable $\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)$-set in

$$\Omega^{\Delta(R)} \sqcup \Omega'^{\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega^{\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)} \sqcup \Omega'^{\Delta(R)} \qquad 6.6.16$$

coincide with each other; hence, one of these $\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)$-sets is isomorphic to the disjoint union of the other with a multiple of the regular $\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)$-set; in particular, the order of $\bar N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)\big)$ divides the following difference (cf. 2.6.3 and 5.5)

$$\begin{aligned}&|\Omega^{\Delta(R)}| + |\Omega'^{\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)}| - |\Omega'^{\Delta(R)}| - |\Omega^{\Delta_{\psi,\psi'}(R)}| \\ &\quad = |f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, g| + |f_{\psi,\psi'}, g'| - |f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, g'| - |f_{\psi,\psi'}, g| \\ &\quad = |f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, k{\cdot}f_{n-1}| - |f_{\psi,\psi'}, k{\cdot}f_{n-1}|\end{aligned} \qquad 6.6.17,$$

proving our claim.

Now, it suffices to choose

$$f_n = f_{n-1} + \sum_{R\in\mathcal{S}_n}\ \sum_{(\psi,\psi')\in\mathcal{D}_R} k_{\psi,\psi'}{\cdot}f_{\psi,\psi'} \qquad 6.6.18;$$

indeed, it is clear that f_n belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{(p)}$; moreover, since $\bar v_{\mathcal{H}}(f_{\psi,\psi'}) = \bar s_R$, the last equality in 6.6.7 above shows that $\bar v_{\mathcal{H}}(f_n) = \bar s_n$; finally, it is easily checked that, for any $R,\hat R\in\mathcal{S}_n$, any $(\psi,\psi')\in\mathcal{D}_R$ and any $(\hat\psi,\hat\psi')\in\mathcal{D}_{\hat R}$ the inequality $|f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_{\hat\psi,\hat\psi'}| \neq 0$ forces $(R,\psi,\psi') = (\hat R,\hat\psi,\hat\psi')$, and therefore from equalities 6.6.7 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_n| &= |f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_{n-1}| + k_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}|f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}, f_{\iota_R^P,\iota_R^P}| \\ &= |f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_{n-1}| + k_{\psi,\psi'}|f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_{\psi,\psi'}| = |f_{\psi,\psi'}, f_n|\end{aligned} \qquad 6.6.19.$$

In conclusion, setting $f = f_n$ for n big enough, we obtain $\bar v_{\mathcal{H}}(f) = \bar s$ and

$$|f_{\varphi,\varphi'}, f| = |f_{\iota_Q^P,\iota_Q^P}, f| \qquad 6.6.20$$

for any $Q\in\mathcal{S}$ and any $(\varphi,\varphi')\in\mathcal{D}_Q$ which, according to Lemma 6.4, proves that f belongs to $(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})_{(p)}$.

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a left-hand ideal in $\mathcal{H}$, so that $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}$ contains $(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}+\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})\cdot\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}$, and since it follows from Proposition 6.5 that

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}\cdot\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}\subset\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{K}^{\mathcal{F}}\cdot\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}=\{0\}\qquad 6.6.21,$$

the sum $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}+\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$; moreover, according again to Proposition 6.5, we have

$$v_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})\subset(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\big((\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}\big)=\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F})\qquad 6.6.22.$$

But, the argument above proves that

$$\bar v_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)})=(\mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F})_{(p)}\qquad 6.6.23.$$

Consequently, since $\mathcal{K}_H\cap\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}=\{0\}$, the canonical map $\mathcal{B}_P\to\mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F}$ and the *evaluation* map $v_{\mathcal{H}}$ induce $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-module isomorphisms

$$(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)}\cong(\mathcal{B}_P/\mathcal{F})_{(p)}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)}\cong(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)}\qquad 6.6.24$$

and $m^P\big((\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}\big)$ is contained in $(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}+\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})_{(p)}$; in particular, $(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}+\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})_{(p)}$ is a unitary $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}_{(p)}$ and we have

$$(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}+\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})_{(p)}\cong(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}})_{(p)}\rtimes\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)}\qquad 6.6.25$$

where the symbol $\rtimes$ means that it is a *direct product* of $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-modules with a product defined by the product in each term and the (left-hand) $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}_{(p)}$-module structure of $(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}})_{(p)}$.

Finally, for any $s\in(\mathcal{B}_P)^{\mathcal{F}}$, since m_s belongs to $(\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{H}}+\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}})_{(p)}$ and we have $(m_s)^{\circ}=m_s$, it follows from proposition 6.5 that m_s centralizes $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$. In particular, for any $f,g\in\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$, since $g(1)$ belongs to $(\mathcal{B}^P)^{\mathcal{F}}$, we obtain

$$(fg)(1)=f\big(g(1)\big)=(fm_{g(1)})(1)=(m_{g(1)}f)(1)=g(1)f(1)\qquad 6.6.26$$

which, together with isomorphisms 6.6.24, proves the last $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$-algebra isomorphism. We are done.

Lemma 6.8. *Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a Frobenius P-category and let Q be a subgroup of P fully normalized in $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\Delta(Q)$ is fully normalized in the Frobenius $P\times P$-category $\mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{F}$.*

Proof: Any $\mathcal{F}\times\mathcal{F}$-morphism from $\Delta(Q)$ to $P\times P$ is determined by two $\mathcal{F}$-morphisms $\varphi,\varphi'\in\mathcal{F}(P,Q)$; since we assume that Q is *fully normalized* in $\mathcal{F}$ [4 2.6], considering the inverse of the isomorphism $\varphi\colon Q\cong\varphi(Q)$ determined by φ, it follows from condition [4, 2.12.2] that there are an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism

$\zeta : N_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \to P$ and an element $\chi \in \mathcal{F}(Q)$ such that $\zeta\big(\psi(u)\big) = \chi(u)$ for any $u \in Q$; moreover, according to [4, Proposition 2.13], Q is also fully centralized in $\mathcal{F}$ and therefore, considering the group homomorphism

$$\eta : \varphi'(Q) \longrightarrow P \qquad 6.8.1$$

mapping $\varphi'(u)$ on $\chi(u)$ for any $u \in Q$, and the image

$$R = \pi'\Big(N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\varphi,\varphi'}(Q)\big)\Big) \subset N_P\big(\varphi'(Q)\big) \qquad 6.8.2$$

where $\pi' : P \times P \to P$ is the second projection, there is $\zeta' \in \mathcal{F}(P, R)$ extending η and, in particular, fulfilling $\zeta'\big(\psi'(u)\big) = \chi(u)$ for any $u \in Q$ [4, statement 2.10.1]; thus, $\zeta \times \zeta'$ determines a group homomorphism

$$N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\varphi,\varphi'}(Q)\big) \longrightarrow P \times P \qquad 6.8.3$$

which maps $\Delta_{\varphi,\varphi'}(Q)$ onto $\Delta(Q)$ and therefore it fulfills

$$(\zeta \times \zeta')\Big(N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\varphi,\varphi'}(Q)\big)\Big) \subset N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta(Q)\big) \qquad 6.8.4.$$

We are done.

Lemma 6.9. *Assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is a Frobenius P-category, let Q be a subgroup of P and set*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fc} &= \{\tilde\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q) \mid \varphi(Q) \textit{ is fully centralized in } \mathcal{F}\}\\ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fn} &= \{\tilde\varphi \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q) \mid \varphi(Q) \textit{ is fully normalized in } \mathcal{F}\}\end{aligned} \qquad 6.9.1.$$

Then, $|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fc}|$ and $|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fn}|$ are both prime to p. In particular, if Q is fully normalized in $\mathcal{F}$, the sum

$$\sum_{\tilde\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)} \frac{|\bar N_P(Q)|}{|\bar N_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)|} \qquad 6.9.2$$

is an integer prime to p .

Proof: We actually may assume that Q is fully normalized in $\mathcal{F}$ [4, 2.6] and therefore fully centralized too [4, Proposition 2.11]. First of all, we prove that p does not divide $|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fc}|$; if Q is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing then we simply have $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fc} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)$ and the claim follows from [4, 6.7.2]. Assuming that Q is *not* $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing, it follows from [4, statement 2.10.1] that any $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}(P,Q)_{\rm fc}$ can be extended to an $\mathcal{F}$-morphism $Q{\cdot}C_P(Q) \to P$; that is to say, the inclusion $Q \subset Q{\cdot}C_P(Q)$ induces a surjective map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(P, Q{\cdot}C_P(Q)\big) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fc} \qquad 6.9.3$$

and note that, since $Q{\cdot}C_P(Q)$ is $\mathcal{F}$-selfcentralizing, it follows again from [4, 6.7.2] that p does not divide $|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(P, Q{\cdot}C_P(Q)\big)|$.

Moreover, if $\psi,\psi' \in \mathcal{F}\big(P,Q{\cdot}C_P(Q)\big)$ have the same image in $\mathcal{F}(P,Q)_{\rm fc}$ then we have $\psi(Q)=\psi'(Q)$ and, in particular, we still have

$$\psi\big(Q{\cdot}C_P(Q)\big)=\psi'\big(Q{\cdot}C_P(Q)\big) \qquad 6.9.4$$

so that $\psi'=\psi\circ\sigma$ for a suitable $\sigma\in\mathcal{F}\big(Q{\cdot}C_P(Q)\big)$ which stabilizes and acts trivially on Q ; that is to say, σ determines an element in $\big(C_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)\big)\big(C_P(Q)\big)$ [4, 2.14]. It easily follows that the p'-group $\widetilde{\big(C_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)\big)}\big(C_P(Q)\big)$ [4, Proposition 2.16] acts regularly on the "fibers" of the map 6.9.3 above and therefore we get

$$\frac{\big|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}\big(P,Q{\cdot}C_P(Q)\big)\big|}{\big|\widetilde{\big(C_{\mathcal{F}}(Q)\big)}\big(C_P(Q)\big)\big|}=|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fc}| \qquad 6.9.5$$

which proves our claim.

Secondly, it follows from [4, Proposition 2.11] that $\varphi\in\mathcal{F}(P,Q)_{\rm fc}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}(P,Q)_{\rm fn}$ if and only if $\mathcal{F}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathcal{F}\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$; thus, for any $\varphi\in\mathcal{F}(P,Q)_{\rm fc}-\mathcal{F}(P,Q)_{\rm fn}$, setting $R=\varphi(Q)$, the p-group $\mathcal{F}_P(R)$ is *not* a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathcal{F}(R)$ and therefore p divides $\big|\bar{N}_{\mathcal{F}(R)}\big(\mathcal{F}_P(R)\big)\big|$. But, since R is fully centralized in $\mathcal{F}$, it follows from [4, statement 2.10.1] that any $\sigma\in N_{\mathcal{F}(R)}\big(\mathcal{F}_P(R)\big)$ can be lifted to some $\tau\in\mathcal{F}\big(P,N_P(R)\big)$ such that $\tau(R)=R$ and therefore we have $\tau\big(N_P(R)\big)=N_P(R)$; moreover, it is clear that if the image $\bar\sigma$ of σ in $\bar{N}_{\mathcal{F}(R)}\big(\mathcal{F}_P(R)\big)$ is not trivial then, denoting by $\varphi' : Q\to P$ the $\mathcal{F}$-morphism mapping $u\in Q$ on $\tau\big(\varphi(u)\big)$ we have $\tilde\varphi'\neq\tilde\varphi$. Consequently, for any image R of an element of $\mathcal{F}(P,Q)_{\rm fc}-\mathcal{F}(P,Q)_{\rm fn}$, the group $\bar{N}_{\mathcal{F}(R)}\big(\mathcal{F}_P(R)\big)$ acts freely on the set

$$\{\tilde\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fc}-\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fn}\mid\varphi(Q)=R\} \qquad 6.9.6;$$

hence, p divides $|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fc}-\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fn}|$ and therefore p does not divide $|\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(P,Q)_{\rm fn}|$. We are done.

7. Basic elements in the double Burnside $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra of P

7.1. As a matter of fact, if $\mathcal{H}$ is the *Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra* of a *Frobenius P-category* $\mathcal{F}$, the elements f of $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{F}}$ with *length* (cf. 5.3) prime to p determine $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, and they can be described *a priori* in $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ either *via* the *basic $P\times P$-sets* in [4, Ch. 21] or by the *Frobenius condition* introduced in [6]. In this section, we consider these elements in our new context.

7.2. Let f be an element of $\mathcal{B}^{\rm pp}_{P\times P}\cap t(\mathcal{B}^{\rm pp}_{P\times P})$; according to Proposition 5.9, it is quite clear that f belongs to a *Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra* — namely to the intersection $\mathcal{B}^{\rm pp}_{P\times P}\cap t(\mathcal{B}^{\rm pp}_{P\times P})$ — and that the intersection of two *Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebras* is also a *Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra*; thus, it makes sense to consider the unique minimal *Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra* $\mathcal{H}_f$ containing f .

Lemma 7.3. *With the notation above, $\mathcal{H}_f$ coincides with the minimal Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra containing the set of indecomposable elements g of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}\cap t(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P})$ such that $|g,f|\neq 0$.*

Proof: According to condition 5.9.1, all these elements g belong to $\mathcal{H}_f$. Conversely, let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra which does not contain f; thus, if we have $f=\sum_g z_g{\cdot}g$ where g runs over the set of indecomposable elements g of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}\cap t(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P})$, there is such a g such that $z_g\neq 0$ and $g\notin\mathcal{H}$; moreover, according to Proposition 5.9, we can choose this indecomposable element in such a way that if $z_{g'}\neq 0$ then $|g,g'|=0$ and therefore, in this case, we get (cf. 2.6.3)

$$|g,f|=z_g\,|g,g|\neq 0 \qquad 7.3.1.$$

We are done.

7.4. On the other hand, as in Proposition 3.3, denoting by $\mathcal{F}^f(P,Q)$ the set of injective group homomorphisms $\varphi\colon Q\to P$ fulfilling

$$\mathrm{res}_\varphi{\cdot}f=\mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}{\cdot}f \quad\text{and}\quad \mathrm{res}_\varphi{\cdot}f^{\circ}=\mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}{\cdot}f^{\circ} \qquad 7.4.1,$$

the family of sets $\mathcal{F}^f(P,Q)$ where Q runs over the set of subgroups of P determine a *divisible P-category $\mathcal{F}^f$*; indeed, if $\theta\colon R\to Q$ is a group homomorphism such that

$$\mathcal{F}^f(P,R)\cap\big(\mathcal{F}^f(P,Q)\circ\theta\big)\neq\emptyset \qquad 7.4.2,$$

there is $\varphi\in\mathcal{F}^f(P,Q)$ such that $\varphi\circ\theta$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}^f(P,R)$ and therefore we get

$$\mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P\circ\theta}{\cdot}f=\mathrm{res}_{\iota_R^P}{\cdot}f \quad\text{and}\quad \mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P\circ\theta}{\cdot}f^{\circ}=\mathrm{res}_{\iota_R^P}{\cdot}f^{\circ} \qquad 7.4.3;$$

hence, for any $\varphi'\in\mathcal{F}^f(P,Q)$ we still get

$$\mathrm{res}_{\varphi'\circ\theta}{\cdot}f=\mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P\circ\theta}{\cdot}f=\mathrm{res}_{\iota_R^P}{\cdot}f \quad\text{and}\quad \mathrm{res}_{\varphi'\circ\theta}{\cdot}f^{\circ}=\mathrm{res}_{\iota_R^P}{\cdot}f^{\circ} \qquad 7.4.4.$$

Lemma 7.5. *For any element f of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}\cap t(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P})$ and any subgroup Q of P we have*

$$\sum_{\tilde\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{H}_f}(Q,P)} \big|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},f\big|/\big|C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\big|\equiv\ell(f)\bmod p \qquad 7.5.1.$$

In particular, if $\ell(f)$ is prime to P and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_f}$ is contained in $\mathcal{F}^f$, we have the equality $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_f}=\mathcal{F}^f$.

Proof: Since we have $|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},f|=|\mathrm{res}_\varphi,\mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}{\cdot}f|$ (cf. equality 5.7.1), let us consider the decomposition $\mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}{\cdot}f=\sum_g z_g{\cdot}g$ of $\mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}{\cdot}f$ in the $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of *indecomposable* elements g of $\mathcal{B}_{Q\times P}$; for any $\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}(Q,P)$, it is easily checked that $|\mathrm{res}_\varphi,g|=0$ unless $g=\mathrm{res}_\varphi$ (cf. 2.6.3) and then we have

$$|\mathrm{res}_\varphi,\mathrm{res}_\varphi|=\big|C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\big| \qquad 7.5.2;$$

hence, since p divides $\ell(g)$ unless $g = \mathrm{res}_\varphi$ for some $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}(Q,P)$ and then we have $\ell(\mathrm{res}_\varphi) = 1$, it is quite clear that

$$\sum_{\tilde\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal F}_{\mathcal H_f}(Q,P)} \big|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},f\big|/\big|C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\big| = \sum_{\tilde\varphi\in\tilde{\mathcal F}_{\mathcal H_f}(Q,P)} z_{\mathrm{res}_\varphi} \equiv \ell(f) \bmod p \qquad 7.5.3.$$

In particular, if $\ell(f)$ is prime to P, for any subgroup Q of P there is $\varphi \in \mathcal F_{\mathcal H_f}(P,Q)$ such that $|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},f| \neq 0$; hence, if $\mathcal F_{\mathcal H_f}(P,Q) \subset \mathcal F^f(P,Q)$ then for any $\psi \in \mathcal F^f(P,Q)$ it follows from isomorphism 5.7.1 and equalities 6.3.1 that we have

$$|f_{\iota_Q^P,\psi},f| = |f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},f| \neq 0 \qquad 7.5.4;$$

now, it follows from statement 3.2.1 that $f_{\iota_Q^P,\psi}$ is also an *indecomposable* element of $\mathcal H_f$ and therefore ψ also belongs to $\mathcal F_{\mathcal H_f}(P,Q)$. We are done.

Proposition 7.6. *Let $\mathcal F$ be a divisible P-category and set $\mathcal H = \mathcal H_{\mathcal F}$. If $\mathcal H^{\mathcal F}$ contains an element f such that $\ell(f)$ is prime to p, then $\mathcal F$ is a Frobenius P-category and we have $\mathcal F^f = \mathcal F$ and $\mathcal H_f = \mathcal H$.*

Proof: Since f belongs to $\mathcal H$, $\mathcal H$ contains $\mathcal H_f$ and therefore $\mathcal F_{\mathcal H_f}$ is contained in $\mathcal F$; similarly, it is clear that $\mathcal F$ is contained in $\mathcal F^f$; since $\ell(f)$ is prime to p, it follows from Lemma 7.5 that we have the equalities

$$\mathcal F_{\mathcal H_f} = \mathcal F = \mathcal F^f \qquad 7.6.1$$

and therefore we still have $H_f = \mathcal H$.

Moreover, it follows from Proposition 6.5 that we have $f = f' - f''$ for suitable *positive* elements f' and f'' of $\mathcal H^{\mathcal F}$; in particular, $\mathcal H$ contains $\mathcal H_{f'}$ and $\mathcal H_{f''}$, and $\mathcal F$ is contained in $\mathcal F^{f'}$ and $\mathcal F^{f''}$. We actually may assume that $\ell(f')$ is prime to p; then, since f' is *positive*, it is the isomorphism class of a functor $\mathfrak f'$ in $\mathfrak H_{\mathcal F}$; we already know that this functor comes from a suitable $P\times P$-set Ω' where $\{1\}\times P$ and $P\times\{1\}$ act freely and that p does not divide $\ell(f') = |\Omega'|/|P|$; moreover, since f' belongs to $\mathcal H^{\mathcal F}$, it follows from Lemma 6.4 that we have $f'^{\circ} = f'$ and therefore we still have $\Omega'^{\circ} \cong \Omega'$.

That is to say, the $P\times P$-set Ω' fulfills all the conditions in [4, 21.2]; moreover, for any subgroup Q of P, in [4, 21.3] we denote by $\mathrm{Hom}^{\Omega'}(Q,P)$ the set of injective group homomorphisms $\varphi\colon Q\to P$ fulfilling (cf. 2.6.3)

$$\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},\mathfrak f') \neq \emptyset \qquad 7.6.3;$$

then, since $\mathfrak f'$ is a functor in $\mathfrak H_{\mathcal F}$, it follows from statement 3.2.1 that $\mathfrak f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}$ is also a functor in $\mathfrak H_{\mathcal F}$ and, in particular, that φ belongs to $\mathcal F(P,Q)$; consequently, since $\mathcal F \subset \mathcal F^{f'} = \mathcal F^{\Omega'}$, the inclusions in [4, 21.5.1] hold and therefore Ω' is a *basic $P\times P$-set*, we have $\mathcal F = \mathcal F^{f'}$ and it follows from [4, Proposition 21.9] that $\mathcal F^{f'}$ is a *Frobenius P-category*. We are done.

7.7. Now, we say that $f \in \mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ is *basic* if f belongs to $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}$, $\ell(f)$ is prime to p and we have $\mathcal{H}_f = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}^f}$ or, equivalently, $\mathcal{F}^f = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_f}$; thus, according to Lemma 7.5, $f \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}$ is *basic* if $\ell(f)$ is prime to p and $\mathcal{F}^f$ contains $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_f}$. Note that, if f is *basic* then it belongs to $(\mathcal{H}_f)^{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_f}}$ and therefore it follows from Lemma 6.4 that we have $f^{\circ} = f$; moreover, according to Proposition 7.6, in this case $\mathcal{F}^f$ is a *Frobenius P-category*. Conversely, it follows from isomorphism 6.6.2 and Proposition 7.6 that it $\mathcal{F}$ is a *Frobenius P-category* then $(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathcal{F}}$ contains *basic* elements.

7.8. In [6], Kari Ragnarsson and Radu Stancu find an equivalent definition of a *basic* element *via* the *Frobenius condition*; we say that $f \in \mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$ fulfills the *Frobenius condition* if, for any $s, s' \in \mathcal{B}_P$, we have

$$f\big(f(s)\, s'\big) = f(s)f(s') \tag{7.8.1}$$

or, equivalently, if f centralizes $m^P\big(f(\mathcal{B}_P)\big)$; as we mention in Remark 6.7 above, it follows from Theorem 6.6 that any *basic* element fulfills the *Frobenius condition*. Following Kari Ragnarsson and Radu Stancu, in order to discuss this condition, for any pair of functors $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ in $\mathfrak{B}_{P\times P}$, we consider the functors (cf. 2.3)

$$\mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{g} : \mathfrak{B}_P\times\mathfrak{B}_P \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_P \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}\circ(\mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{id}) : \mathfrak{B}_P\times\mathfrak{B}_P \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_P \qquad 7.8.2;$$

moreover, for any subgroup Q of P and any injective group homomorphisms $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathrm{Hom}(P, Q)$, we consider the *indecomposable* functor

$$\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'} = \mathfrak{ind}_Q^P \circ (\mathfrak{res}_\varphi \times \mathfrak{res}_{\varphi'}) : \mathfrak{B}_P\times\mathfrak{B}_P \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}_P \qquad 7.8.3;$$

with this notation, in [6 Lemma 7.5] they prove the following result.

Proposition 7.9. *With the notation above, for any subgroup Q of P and any injective group homomorphisms $\varphi, \varphi' \in \mathrm{Hom}(P, Q)$, we have natural bijections*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{g}) &\cong \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}, \mathfrak{f}) \times \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{g})\\ \mathfrak{Nat}\big(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{g}\circ(\mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{id})\big) &\cong \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\varphi',\varphi}, \mathfrak{f}) \times \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{g})\end{aligned} \tag{7.9.1}$$

Proof: Since the restriction preserves the *trivial* actions, it follows from bijection 2.3.3 and from 2.4 that we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{g}) &\cong \mathfrak{Nat}\big(\mathfrak{res}_\varphi \times \mathfrak{res}_{\varphi'}, (\mathfrak{res}_Q^P\circ\mathfrak{f}) \times (\mathfrak{res}_Q^P\circ\mathfrak{g})\big)\\ &\cong \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{res}_\varphi, \mathfrak{res}_Q^P\circ\mathfrak{f}) \times \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{res}_{\varphi'}, \mathfrak{res}_Q^P\circ\mathfrak{g}) \qquad 7.9.2\,.\\ &\cong \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}, \mathfrak{f}) \times \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{g})\end{aligned}$$

Once again, it follows from bijection 2.3.3 that we have

$$\mathfrak{Nat}\big(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{g}\circ(\mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{id})\big) \cong \mathfrak{Nat}\big(\mathfrak{res}_\varphi\times\mathfrak{res}_{\varphi'}, \mathfrak{res}^P_Q\circ\mathfrak{g}\circ(\mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{id})\big) \qquad 7.9.3.$$

But, in order to prove the bottom bijection in 7.9.1, it suffices to consider the case where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f}_{\iota_R^P,\psi}$ for a subgroup R of P and an injective group homomorphism $\psi: R \to P$; then, choosing a set of representatives W for $R\backslash P/Q$ and, for any $w \in W$, setting $U_w = Q\cap R^w$ and $\psi_w = \psi\circ\kappa_w$ where $\kappa_w : U_w \to R$ denotes the group homomorphism determined by the conjugation by w, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{res}^P_Q\circ\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_R^P,\psi}\circ(\mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{id}) &= (\mathfrak{res}^P_Q\circ\mathfrak{ind}^P_R)\circ\big((\mathfrak{res}_\psi\circ\mathfrak{f})\times\mathfrak{res}_\psi\big)\\ &= \Big(\bigsqcup_{w\in W}\mathfrak{ind}^Q_{U_w}\circ\mathfrak{res}_{\kappa_w}\Big)\circ\big((\mathfrak{res}_\psi\circ\mathfrak{f})\times\mathfrak{res}_\psi\big)\\ &= \bigsqcup_{w\in W}\mathfrak{ind}^Q_{U_w}\circ\big((\mathfrak{res}_{\psi_w}\circ\mathfrak{f})\times\mathfrak{res}_{\psi_w}\big)\end{aligned} \qquad 7.9.4\,;$$

moreover, it is easily checked that for any $w\in W$ such that $U_w\neq Q$ we have

$$\mathfrak{Nat}\Big(\mathfrak{res}_\varphi\times\mathfrak{res}_{\varphi'}, \mathfrak{ind}^Q_{U_w}\circ\big((\mathfrak{res}_{\psi_w}\circ\mathfrak{f})\times\mathfrak{res}_{\psi_w}\big)\Big) = \emptyset \qquad 7.9.5.$$

Consequently, setting $\hat W = W\cap T_P(R,Q)$, once again from 2.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{Nat}\big(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{f}_{\iota_R^P,\psi}\circ(\mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{id})\big)\\ &\quad\cong \bigsqcup_{w\in\hat W}\mathfrak{Nat}\big(\mathfrak{res}_\varphi\times\mathfrak{res}_{\varphi'}, (\mathfrak{res}_{\psi_w}\circ\mathfrak{f})\times\mathfrak{res}_{\psi_w}\big)\\ &\quad\cong \bigsqcup_{w\in\hat W}\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{res}_\varphi, \mathfrak{res}_{\psi_w}\circ\mathfrak{f})\times\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{res}_{\varphi'}, \mathfrak{res}_{\psi_w})\\ &\quad\cong \bigsqcup_{w\in\hat W}\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\psi_w,\varphi}, \mathfrak{f})\times\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\psi_w,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{id})\end{aligned} \qquad 7.9.6;$$

moreover, the set $\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\psi_w,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{id})$ is empty unless $\psi_w = \varphi'$ which at most happens once. On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{f}_{\iota_R^P,\psi}) &\cong \mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{res}_{\varphi'}, \mathfrak{res}^P_Q\circ\mathfrak{ind}^P_R\circ\mathfrak{res}_\psi)\\ &\cong \bigsqcup_{w\in W}\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{res}_{\varphi'}, \mathfrak{ind}^Q_{U_w}\circ\mathfrak{res}_{\psi_w})\\ &\cong \bigsqcup_{w\in\hat W}\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{res}_{\varphi'}, \mathfrak{res}_{\psi_w}) \cong \bigsqcup_{w\in\hat W}\mathfrak{Nat}(\mathfrak{f}_{\psi_w,\varphi'}, \mathfrak{id})\end{aligned} \qquad 7.9.7.$$

Now, the bottom bijection in 7.9.1 follows from bijections 7.9.6 and 7.9.7.

Corollary 7.10. *With the notation above, any element* f *in* $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}\cap t(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P})$ *fulfills the Frobenius condition only if, for any subgroup* Q *of* P *and any injective group homomorphisms* $\varphi,\varphi'\in \mathrm{Hom}(P,Q)$, *we have*

$$|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},f|\,|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi'},f| = |f_{\varphi',\varphi},f|\,|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi'},f| \qquad 7.10.1.$$

Proof: With the notation in the proposition above, respectively denote by f , g and $f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'}$ the isomorphism classes of $\mathfrak{f}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'}$; it is clear that $f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'}$ becomes an element of $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P\times P}$ (cf. 5.4) and that $\mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{f}\times\mathfrak{id}$ also determine elements in $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P\times P}$, respectively denoted by $f\times g$ and $f\times 1$; thus, the bijections in 7.9.1 imply the equalities (cf. 5.4)

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'},f\times g| &= |f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},f|\,|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi'},g| \\ |f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi,\varphi'},g{\cdot}(f\times 1)| &= |f_{\varphi',\varphi},f|\,|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi'},g| \end{aligned} \qquad 7.10.2;$$

since all the members of these equalities are *bi-additive* on f and g , it follows from our definition of the *partial scalar product* in 5.5 that these equalities remain true for any f and any g in $\mathcal{B}_{P\times P}$.

On the other hand, an element f in $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}\cap t(\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P})$ fulfilling the Frobenius condition clearly fulfills the equality $f{\cdot}(f\times 1) = f\times f$; then, equality 7.10.1 follows from equalities 7.10.2.

Theorem 7.11. *Assume that* $f\in\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}$ *fulfills the Frobenius condition, that we have* $f^{\circ}=f$ *and that* $\ell(f)$ *is prime to* p . *Then* f *is basic.*

Proof: According to Lemma 7.5 and to 7.7, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{F}^f$ contains $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{H}_f}$ or equivalently that, for any subgroup Q of P , any injective group homomorphism $\varphi : Q\to P$ fulfilling $|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},f|\neq 0$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}^f(P,Q)$. Thus, let us denote by $\mathrm{Hom}^f(Q,P)$ the set of injective group homomorphisms $\varphi : Q\to P$ such that $|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},f|\neq 0$, and by $\mathrm{Aut}^f(Q)$ the stabilizer of $\mathrm{Hom}^f(Q,P)$ in $\mathrm{Aut}(Q)$; that is to say, $\sigma\in\mathrm{Aut}(Q)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Aut}^f(Q)$ if and only if we have $|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi\circ\sigma},f|\neq 0$ for any $\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}^f(Q,P)$ and note that $f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi\circ\sigma}=f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}$ is equivalent to $\sigma\in{}^{\varphi *}\mathcal{F}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$. In particular, since we are assuming that $f^{\circ}=f$ and then from equality 7.10.1 we get

$$|f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi},f| = |f_{\varphi',\varphi},f| = |f_{\varphi,\varphi'},f| = |f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi'},f| \qquad 7.11.1$$

for any $\varphi,\varphi'\in\mathrm{Hom}^f(Q,P)$, it follows from Lemma 7.5 above that, if ι_Q^P belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}^f(Q,P)$ and $|N_P(Q)|$ is maximal in the set of integers $\big|N_P\big(\zeta(Q)\big)\big|$ when ζ runs over $\mathrm{Hom}^f(Q,P)$, we have

$$|\mathrm{Aut}^f(Q) : \mathcal{F}_P(Q)|\,|f_{\iota_Q^P,\zeta},f|/|C_P(Q)|\not\equiv 0 \bmod p \qquad 7.11.2.$$

First of all we claim that, for any subgroup Q of P such that ι_Q^P belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}^f(Q,P)$, and any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}^f(Q)$, we have

$$\mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P\circ\sigma}\cdot f = \mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}\cdot f \qquad 7.11.3;$$

since we are assuming that $f^\circ = f$, this equality is equivalent to the equalities (cf. bijection 2.6.3 and 5.6)

$$|f_{\sigma_R,\psi}, f| = |f_{\iota_R^P,\psi}, f| \qquad 7.11.4$$

where R runs over the set of subgroups of Q , $\sigma_R : R \to P$ denotes the group homomorphism determined by σ , and ψ runs over the set of injective group homomorphism from R to P ; hence, according to the corresponding equality 7.10.1, it suffices to prove that $|f_{\iota_R^P,\sigma_R}, f| \neq 0$ and, since $\iota_Q^P \circ \sigma$ belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}^f(Q,P)$, we may assume that $R \neq Q$.

As above, choose $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}^f(R,P)$ such that $\big|N_P\big(\psi(R)\big)\big|$ is maximal; it follows from 7.11.2 above that $\mathcal{F}_P\big(\psi(R)\big)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}^f\big(\psi(R)\big)$ and that we have

$$|f_{\iota^P_{\psi(R)},\iota^P_{\psi(R)}}, f|/|C_P\big(\psi(R)\big)| \not\equiv 0 \bmod p \qquad 7.11.5;$$

then, it follows again from equality 7.10.1 that, up to modifying our choice of ψ with a suitable $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}^f\big(\psi(R)\big)$, we may assume that

$${}^{\psi}\mathcal{F}_P(R) \subset \mathcal{F}_P\big(\psi(R)\big) \qquad 7.11.6;$$

in particular, denoting by ψ^* the inverse of the isomorphism $R \cong \psi(R)$ determined by ψ , ${}^{\psi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\psi(R)\big)$ contains the group

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q(R) = {}^{\sigma^{-1}}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_Q\big(\sigma(R)\big) \cong \bar{N}_Q(R)/\bar{C}_Q(R) \qquad 7.11.7.$$

The *indecomposable* elements of $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{Q\times P}$ are clearly of the form (cf. 5.4)

$$g_{\theta,\eta} = \mathrm{ind}_\theta\cdot\mathrm{res}_\eta \qquad 7.11.8$$

where θ is an injective group homomorphism to Q from a subgroup T of Q and $\eta \in \mathrm{Hom}(T,P)$; let us call *length* of $g_{\theta,\eta}$ the index $|Q\colon T|$. Then, in the decomposition of $g = \mathrm{res}_{\iota_Q^P}\cdot f$ in this $\mathbb{Z}$-basis denote by g' the sum of all the terms with *length* strictly smaller than $|Q\colon R|$, and set $g'' = g - g'$.

Now, in order to prove equalities 7.11.4, we argue by induction on $|Q\colon R|$; that is to say, for any subgroup T of Q such that $|Q\colon T| < |Q\colon R|$ and any injective group homomorphism $\eta : T \to P$, we may assume that

$$|f_{\sigma_T,\eta}, f| = |f_{\iota_T^P,\eta}, f| \qquad 7.11.9;$$

in this case, denoting by $\sigma^Q_T : T \to Q$ the restriction of σ_T , it is easily checked that we still have (cf. bijection 2.6.3)

$$|g_{\sigma^Q_T,\eta}, g'| = |g_{\iota^Q_T,\eta}, g'| \qquad 7.11.10$$

for any injective group homomorphism $\eta : T \to P$.

Then, according to 5.6, we get $\mathrm{res}_\sigma \cdot g' = g'$ which implies that

$$|g_{\sigma^Q_R,\psi}, g'| = |g_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}, g'| \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{res}_{\iota^P_Q \circ \sigma} \cdot f = g' + \mathrm{res}_\sigma \cdot g'' \qquad 7.11.11;$$

in particular, it is clear that for suitable $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{\sigma_R,\psi}, f| &= |g_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}, g'| + x|g_{\sigma^Q_R,\psi}, g_{\sigma^Q_R,\psi}| \\ |f_{\iota_R,\psi}, f| &= |g_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}, g'| + y|g_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}, g_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}| \end{aligned} \qquad 7.11.12.$$

But, we already know that (cf. 3.6.1 and 5.5.1)

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{\sigma^Q_R,\psi}, g_{\sigma^Q_R,\psi}| &= \big|\bar{N}_{Q\times P}\big(\Delta_{\sigma^Q_R,\psi}(R)\big)\big| \\ \big|g_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}, g_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}\big| &= \big|\bar{N}_{Q\times P}\big(\Delta_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}(R)\big)\big| \end{aligned} \qquad 7.11.13;$$

moreover, note that we have group isomorphims

$$\begin{aligned} &N_{Q\times P}\big(\Delta_{\sigma^Q_R,\psi}(R)\big)/C_Q\big(\sigma(R)\big) \times C_P\big(\psi(R)\big) \\ &\qquad\qquad \cong {}^{\sigma^{-1}}\mathcal{F}_Q\big(\sigma(R)\big) \cap {}^{\psi^*}\mathcal{F}_P\big(\psi(R)\big) \\ &N_{Q\times P}\big(\Delta_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}(R)\big)/C_Q(R) \times C_P\big(\psi(R)\big) \\ &\qquad\qquad \cong \mathcal{F}_Q(R) \cap {}^{\psi^*}\mathcal{F}_P\big(\psi(R)\big) \end{aligned} \qquad 7.11.14.$$

Hence, since $N_Q(R) \neq R$ and ${}^{\psi^*}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_P\big(\psi(R)\big)$ contains the image of the quotient $N_Q(R)/C_Q(R)$ (cf. 7.11.7), p divides the integers

$$|g_{\sigma^Q_R,\psi}, g_{\sigma^Q_R,\psi}|/\big|C_P\big(\psi(R)\big)\big| \quad \text{and} \quad \big|g_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}, g_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}|/\big|C_P\big(\psi(R)\big)\big| \qquad 7.11.15.$$

Consequently, it follows from congruence 7.11.5 and from the bottom equality in 7.11.12 that

$$|g_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}, g'|/\big|C_P\big(\psi(R)\big)\big| \not\equiv 0 \bmod p \qquad 7.11.16;$$

then, it follows from the top equality in 7.11.12 that

$$|f_{\sigma_R,\psi}, f|/\big|C_P\big(\psi(R)\big)\big| \not\equiv 0 \bmod p \qquad 7.11.17$$

and, in particular, that $|f_{\sigma_R,\psi}, f| = |f_{\psi,\sigma_R}, f|$ is not zero; finally, equality 7.10.1 yields

$$|f_{\iota^P_R,\sigma_R}, f||f_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}, f| = |f_{\psi,\sigma_R}, f||f_{\iota^Q_R,\psi}, f| \qquad 7.11.18$$

which proves our claim since ψ belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}^f(R, P)$.

On the other hand, since $\ell(f)$ is prime to p, it follows from Lemma 7.5 that there is $\sigma\in \mathrm{Aut}(P)$ such that

$$|f_{\mathrm{id}_P,\sigma}, f|/|Z(P)| \not\equiv 0 \bmod p \qquad 7.11.19$$

and therefore, according to equality 7.10.1, for any $\tau\in\mathrm{Aut}(P)$ we still have $|f_{\mathrm{id}_P,\tau}, f| = |f_{\sigma,\tau}, f|$ and, since $f_{\sigma,\sigma} = f_{\mathrm{id}_P,\mathrm{id}_P}$, we get

$$|f_{\mathrm{id}_P,\mathrm{id}_P}, f|/|Z(P)| = |f_{\mathrm{id}_P,\sigma}, f|/|Z(P)| \not\equiv 0 \bmod p \qquad 7.11.20;$$

thus, id_P belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}^f(P,P)$ and then equality 7.10.1 implies that

$$\mathrm{Aut}^f(P) = \mathrm{Hom}^f(P,P) \qquad 7.11.21.$$

Hence, it follows from our claim that $\mathcal{F}^f(P)$ contains $\mathrm{Hom}^f(P,P)$.

From now on, in order to prove that any $\varphi\in\mathrm{Hom}^f(Q,P)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}^f(P,Q)$, we argue by induction on $|P\colon Q|$ and may assume that $Q\neq P$. First of all, we assume that $\big|N_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\big|$ is maximal and then it follows from congruence 7.11.2 that $\mathcal{F}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ is a Sylow p-subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}^f\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$ and that we have

$$|f_{\iota^P_{\mathrm{id}_{\varphi(Q)}},\iota^P_{\mathrm{id}_{\varphi(Q)}}}, f|/\big|C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\big| \not\equiv 0 \bmod p \qquad 7.11.22.$$

Note that it suffices to prove that $\tau\circ\varphi$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}^f(P,Q)$ for some element τ in $\mathrm{Aut}^f\big(\varphi(Q)\big)$; indeed, in this case $\iota^P_{\mathrm{id}_{\varphi(Q)}}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}^f\big(\varphi(Q),P\big)$ and it suffices to apply our claim above to $\varphi(Q)$ to get that

$$\mathrm{res}_{\iota^P_{\varphi(Q)}\circ\tau}\cdot f = \mathrm{res}_{\iota^P_{\varphi(Q)}}\cdot f \qquad 7.11.23,$$

so that φ belongs to $\mathcal{F}^f(P,Q)$ too. Thus, we may assume that

$${}^{\varphi}\mathcal{F}_P(Q) \subset \mathcal{F}_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \qquad 7.11.24.$$

We know that $f = f' - f''$ where f' and f'' are the isomorphism classes of functors $\mathfrak{f}_{\Omega'}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{\Omega''}$ for some finite $P\times P$-sets Ω' and Ω'', and that we have (cf. 3.6.1 and 5.5.1)

$$|f_{\iota^P_Q,\varphi}, f| = |\Omega'^{\Delta_{\iota^P_Q,\varphi}(Q)}| - |\Omega''^{\Delta_{\iota^P_Q,\varphi}(Q)}| \qquad 7.11.25;$$

moreover, since $f^\circ = f$ and f belongs to $\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{pp}}_{P\times P}$, we may assume that $\Omega'^\circ\cong\Omega'$ and $\Omega''^\circ\cong\Omega''$, and that $\{1\}\times P$ acts freely on these $P\times P$-sets. Then, on the one hand, the group $N = N_{P\times P}\big(\Delta_{\iota^P_Q,\varphi}(Q)\big)$ acts on the quotient sets

$$\Omega'^{\Delta_{\iota^P_Q,\varphi}(Q)}/C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \quad\text{and}\quad \Omega''^{\Delta_{\iota^P_Q,\varphi}(Q)}/C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \qquad 7.11.26;$$

on the other hand, since $f_{\iota^P_{\mathrm{id}_{\varphi(Q)}},\iota^P_{\mathrm{id}_{\varphi(Q)}}} = f_{\varphi,\varphi}$, it follows from equalities 7.11.1 and congruence 7.11.22 that we have

$$|f_{\iota^P_Q,\varphi}, f|/\big|C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\big| \not\equiv 0 \bmod p \qquad 7.11.27.$$

Consequently, we still have

$$\Big|\Big(\Omega'^{\Delta_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}(Q)}/C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\Big)^N\Big| \not\equiv \Big|\Big(\Omega''^{\Delta_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}(Q)}/C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\Big)^N\Big| \bmod p \qquad 7.11.28$$

and, in particular, the difference between both members is not zero; moreover, if $C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\cdot\omega$ is a fixed point of N in the quotient set

$$\Big(\Omega'^{\Delta_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}(Q)}\bigsqcup\Omega'^{\Delta_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}(Q)}\Big)\Big/C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \qquad 7.11.29$$

where $\omega \in \Omega'^{\Delta_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}(Q)}\bigsqcup\Omega'^{\Delta_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}(Q)}$, then the stabilizer of ω in N has the form $\Delta_{\iota_T^P,\eta}(T)$ for some subgroup T of $N_P(Q)$ containing Q and a suitable injective group homomorphism $\eta\colon T \to P$. extending φ, and we necessarily have

$$N \cong C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big) \rtimes \Delta_{\iota_T^P,\eta}(T) \qquad 7.11.30.$$

In conclusion, for some subgroup T of $N_P(Q)$ containing Q and a suitable injective group homomorphism $\eta\colon T \to P$. extending φ, we still get

$$\big|\Omega'^{\Delta_{\iota_T^P,\eta}(T)}/C_P\big(\eta(T)\big)\big| \not\equiv \big|\Omega''^{\Delta_{\iota_T^P,\eta}(T)}/C_P\big(\eta(T)\big)\big| \bmod p \qquad 7.11.31;$$

in particular, we have

$$|f_{\iota_T^P,\eta}, f| = |\Omega'^{\Delta_{\iota_T^P,\eta}(T)}| - |\Omega''^{\Delta_{\iota_T^P,\eta}(T)}| \neq 0 \qquad 7.11.32,$$

so that η belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}^f(T,P)$. On the other hand, it is easily checked that inclusion 7.11.24 implies that p divides $\big|N/C_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\big|$, which forces $Q \neq T$; hence, by the induction hypothesis, η belongs to $\mathcal{F}^f(P,T)$; thus, since $\mathcal{F}^f$ is divisible and η extends φ, φ belongs to $\mathcal{F}^f(P,Q)$.

Finally, for any $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}^f(Q,P)$, from equality 7.10.1 we obtain

$$0 \neq |f_{\iota_Q^P,\varphi}, f| = |f_{\psi,\varphi,}, f| = |f_{\iota^P_{\psi(Q)},\varphi\circ\psi^*}, f| \qquad 7.11.33$$

and therefore $\varphi\circ\psi^*$ also belongs to $\mathrm{Hom}^f\big(\psi(Q),P\big)$; but, the cardinal

$$\big|N_P\big(\varphi(Q)\big)\big| = \Big|N_P\Big(\big(\varphi\circ\psi^*\big)\big)\psi(Q)\big)\Big)\Big| \qquad 7.11.34$$

is still maximal; consequently, the argument above proves that $\varphi\circ\psi^*$ actually belongs to $\mathcal{F}^f\big(\psi(Q),P\big)$; once again, the divisibility of $\mathcal{F}^f$ implies that ψ belongs to $\mathcal{F}^(P,Q)$. We are done.

8. The case of a finite group

8.1. Let G be a finite group admitting P as a Sylow p-subgroup and consider the *Frobenius P-category* $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_G$. On the one hand, we have introduced above the *Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra* $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$. On the other hand, the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra

$$\dot{\mathcal{H}}_G = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}G}\big(\mathrm{Ind}_{N_G(P)}^G(\mathbb{Z})\big) \qquad 8.1.1$$

is usually called the *Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra* of G. In this section, our purpose is to relate $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}$ with the *extended Hecke $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra* of G, namely with the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_G = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}G}\big(\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\mathbb{Z})\big) \qquad 8.1.2.$$

Actually, the $\mathbb{Z}G$-module $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the *permutation* $\mathbb{Z}G$-module with $\mathbb{Z}$-basis G/P and therefore the corresponding images of $1 \otimes 1$ in $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\mathbb{Z})$ determines a canonical $\mathbb{Z}$-module isomorphism

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_G \cong \big(\mathbb{Z}(G/P)\big)^P \cong \mathbb{Z}(P\backslash G/P) \qquad 8.1.3;$$

more precisely, in the group $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra $\mathbb{Q}G$ setting $h_D = \frac{1}{|P|}\cdot\sum_{x\in D} x$ for any $D \in P\backslash G/P$, it is easily checked that $\bigoplus_{D\in P\backslash G/P} \mathbb{Z}{\cdot}h_d$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}G$ *canonically* isomorphic to $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_G$.

8.2. In order to describe this relationship, let us consider the *transporter category* $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_G$ of G [17, 17.2], namely the category where the objects are all the subgroups of P and, for any pair of subgroups Q and R of P, we set

$$\mathcal{T}(Q, R) = \{x \in G \mid R \subset Q^x\} \qquad 8.2.1,$$

the composition of $\mathcal{T}$-morphisms being induced by the product in G; note that we have a canonical functor

$$\mathfrak{c} : \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \qquad 8.2.2.$$

Recall that the *exterior* quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ of $\mathcal{T}$ is the quotient category defined by the inner automorphisms of the objects [4, 1.3], and that the *additive cover* $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is the category where the objects are the finite sequences $\bigoplus_{i\in I} Q_i$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-objects Q_i and the morphisms are the pairs formed by a map between the sets of indices and by a sequence of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphisms between the corresponding $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-objects [4, A2.7.3].

Lemma 8.3. *The additive cover* $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$ *of the exterior quotient* $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ *of* $\mathcal{T}$ *admits pull-backs.*

Proof: Let Q, R and T be three subgroups of P and consider two $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphisms

$$\tilde{x}^{Q} : R \longrightarrow Q \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{y}^{Q} : T \longrightarrow Q \qquad 8.3.1$$

where $\tilde{x}^{Q}$ and $\tilde{y}^{Q}$ are the respective classes of t$x \in \mathcal{T}(Q,R)$ and $y \in \mathcal{T}(Q,T)$; choose a set of representatives $W \subset Q$ for ${}^{x}R\backslash Q/{}^{y}T$ and set $U = \bigoplus_{w\in W} U_w$ where $U_w = {}^{x}R \cap {}^{wy}T$ for any $w \in W$. Then, since x^{-1} and $(wy)^{-1}$ determine $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphisms

$$\widetilde{x^{-1}}^{R} : U_w \longrightarrow R \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{(wy)^{-1}}^{T} : U_w \longrightarrow T \qquad 8.3.2$$

for any $w \in W$, we get two obvious $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphisms

$$a : U \longrightarrow R \quad \text{and} \quad b : U \longrightarrow T \qquad 8.3.3$$

and we claim that the $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & Q & \\
R \arrow[ur, "\tilde{x}^{Q}"] & & T \arrow[ul, "\tilde{y}^{Q}"'] \\
 & U \arrow[ul, "a"] \arrow[ur, "b"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \qquad 8.3.4$$

is a *pull-back.*

Indeed, since $W \subset Q$, this diagram is clearly commutative; moreover, let V be an $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-object and

$$c : V \longrightarrow R \quad \text{and} \quad d : V \longrightarrow T \qquad 8.3.5$$

two $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphisms fulfilling $\tilde{x}^{Q} \circ c = \tilde{y}^{Q} \circ d$; in order to prove that there is a unique $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphism $h : V \to U$ fulfilling $c \circ h = a$ and $d \circ h = b$, it is quite clear that we may assume that V is a subgroup of P and that we have $c = \tilde{r}^{R}$ and $d = \tilde{t}^{T}$ for suitable $r \in \mathcal{T}(R,V)$ and $t \in \mathcal{T}(T,V)$.

In this case, we have $\widetilde{xr}^{Q} = \widetilde{yt}^{Q}$ and therefore there is $w \in Q$ such that $xr = wyt$; actually, up to modifying the choices of x in $\tilde{x}^{Q}$ and of y in $\tilde{y}^{Q}$, we may assume that w belongs to W and then we have

$${}^{xr}V = {}^{wyt}V \subset U_w \qquad 8.3.6,$$

so that we can define $h : V \to U$ as the $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphism formed by the map to W from the set with an element corresponding to V which maps this element on w, and by the $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism

$$\widetilde{xr}^{U_w} = \widetilde{wyt}^{U_w} : V \longrightarrow U_w \qquad 8.3.7.$$

Finally, any $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphism $h'\colon V \to U$ fulfilling $c \circ h' = a$ and $d \circ h' = b$ determines some $w' \in W$ and a $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism

$$\tilde{z}^{U_{w'}} : V \longrightarrow U_{w'} \qquad 8.3.8$$

fulfiiling $\tilde{r}^{R} = \widetilde{x^{-1}z}^{R}$ and $\tilde{t}^{T} = \widetilde{(w'y)^{-1}z}^{T}$; once again, we may assume that $xr = z = w'yt$ which forces $w' = w$ and $h' = h$. This proves our claim.

Now, if $Q = \bigoplus_{i\in I} Q_i$, $R = \bigoplus_{j\in J} R_j$ and $T = \bigoplus_{\ell\in L} T_\ell$ are three $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-objects and we consider two $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphisms

$$R \longrightarrow Q \quad \text{and} \quad T \longrightarrow Q \qquad 8.3.9$$

given by two maps $f\colon J \to I$ and $g\colon L \to I$, and by $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphisms

$$\tilde{x}_j^{Q} : R_j \longrightarrow Q_{f(j)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{y}_\ell^{Q} : T_\ell \longrightarrow Q_{g(\ell)} \qquad 8.3.10,$$

it is easily checked that, considering the *pull-backs*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & Q_{f(j)} & \\
R_j \arrow[ur, "\tilde{x}_j^{Q}"] & & T_\ell \arrow[ul, "\tilde{y}_\ell^{Q}"'] \\
 & U_{(j,\ell)} \arrow[ul, "a_{(j,\ell)}"] \arrow[ur, "b_{(j,\ell)}"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \qquad 8.3.11$$

for any $(j,\ell) \in J \times_I L$, the "sum" $U = \bigoplus_{(j,\ell)\in J\times_I L} U_{(j,\ell)}$ together with the $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphisms

$$a : U \longrightarrow R \quad \text{and} \quad b : U \longrightarrow T \qquad 8.3.12$$

given by the projections $J\times_I L \to J$ and $J\times_I L \to L$ and by the $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphisms

$$a_{(j,\ell)} : U_{(j,\ell)} \longrightarrow R_j \quad \text{and} \quad b_{(j,\ell)} : U_{(j,\ell)} \longrightarrow T_\ell \qquad 8.3.13$$

define a *pull-back* of the $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphisms 8.3.9. We are done.

8.4. Let $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ be the category where the objects $\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}$ are the triples formed by an $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-object Q and a pair of $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphisms a and a' from Q to P — to be more explicit, we also say that $\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}$ is an object *over* Q — and where, for a pair of $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-objects Q and R, and a pair of pairs of $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
P & & P \\
 & Q \arrow[ul, "a"] \arrow[ur, "a'"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{tikzcd}
P & & P \\
 & R \arrow[ul, "b"] \arrow[ur, "b'"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \qquad 8.4.1,$$

a morphism from $\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}$ to $\mathfrak{t}_{b,b'}$ is an $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphism $h\colon R \to Q$ fulfilling $a \circ h = b$ and $a' \circ h = b'$, the composition being induced by the composition in $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$. Then, denoting by $\nabla\colon P \oplus P \to P$ the $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphism determined by the identity id_P , we define

$$\begin{gathered} a\nabla b = \nabla \circ (a \oplus b) : Q \oplus R \longrightarrow P \oplus P \longrightarrow P \\ \mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'} = \mathfrak{t}_{a\nabla b\,,a'\nabla b'} \end{gathered} \qquad 8.4.2$$

and this *direct sum* is clearly *associative*; coherently, we say that $\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}$ is *indecomposable* whenever Q is a subgroup of P and, in this case, that it is *maximal* if any $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism from $\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}$ to an *indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object is an isomorphism. Moreover, from any pair of $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphisms

$$h : \mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{a},\bar{a}'} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell : \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{b},\bar{b}'} \tag{8.4.3,}$$

we clearly obtain a new $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphism

$$h \oplus \ell : \mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{a},\bar{a}'} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{b},\bar{b}'} \tag{8.4.4.}$$

8.5. On the other hand, choosing a *pull-back*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & P & \\
Q \arrow[ur, "a'"] & & R \arrow[ul, "b"'] \\
 & Q \times_P R \arrow[ul, "\hat{b}"] \arrow[ur, "\hat{a}'"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.5.1,}$$

we define the *tensor product* as the following $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object over $Q \times_P R$

$$\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \otimes \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'} = \mathfrak{t}_{a\circ\hat{b}\,,b'\circ\hat{a}'} \tag{8.5.2;}$$

as above, from any pair of $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphisms

$$h : \mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{a},\bar{a}'} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell : \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{b},\bar{b}'} \tag{8.5.3,}$$

we clearly obtain a new $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphism

$$h \otimes \ell : \mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \otimes \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{a},\bar{a}'} \otimes \mathfrak{t}_{\bar{b},\bar{b}'} \tag{8.5.4.}$$

8.6. Moreover, for a third $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object $\mathfrak{t}_{c,c'}$, we have a *natural* isomorphism

$$(\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \otimes \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}_{c,c'} \cong \mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \otimes (\mathfrak{t}_{b,b'} \otimes \mathfrak{t}_{c,c'}) \tag{8.6.1}$$

and it is easily checked that the corresponding *pentagonal* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$*-diagram* is commutative; similarly, the *tensor product* is *distributive* with respect to the *direct sum* in the sense that we have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{gathered}
(\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'}) \otimes \mathfrak{t}_{c,c'} \cong (\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{c,c'}) \otimes (\mathfrak{t}_{b,b'} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{c,c'}) \\
\mathfrak{t}_{c,c'} \otimes (\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'}) \cong (\mathfrak{t}_{c,c'} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}) \otimes (\mathfrak{t}_{c,c'} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'})
\end{gathered} \tag{8.6.2.}$$

Note that the functor $\mathfrak{c} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{F}$ above induces new functors

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{c}} : \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathfrak{c}}) : \mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \tag{8.6.3,}$$

and finally a *surjective* functor

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}} \qquad 8.6.4$$

which is compatible with the *direct sum* and maps the *tensor product* on the composition of functors; moreover, this functor preserves *indecomposable* and *maximal indecomposable* objects.

Proposition 8.7. *With the notation above, for any indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$*-object* $\mathsf{t}_{a,a'}$ *over* Q *there exists a unique isomorphism class of maximal indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$*-objects* $\mathsf{t}_{\hat{a},\hat{a}'}$ *, over a suitable subgroup* $\hat{Q}$ *of* P *, such that* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathsf{t}_{a,a'}, \mathsf{t}_{\hat{a},\hat{a}'})$ *is not empty, and then we have*

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathsf{t}_{a,a'}, \mathsf{t}_{\hat{a},\hat{a}'}) = \{\tilde{r}^{\hat{Q}}\} \qquad 8.7.1.$$

In particular, for any $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$*-object* $\mathsf{t}_{b,b'}$ *over* R *admitting an* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$*-morphism* $\tilde{s}^{R} : \mathsf{t}_{a,a'} \to \mathsf{t}_{b,b'}$ *, there exists a unique* $\tilde{t}^{\hat{Q}} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathsf{t}_{b,b'}, \mathsf{t}_{\hat{a},\hat{a}'})$ *fulfilling* $\widetilde{ts}^{\hat{Q}} = \tilde{r}^{\hat{Q}}$ *.*

Proof: We argue by induction on $|P:Q|$ and may assume that $Q \neq P$; respectively denote by $x, x' \in \mathcal{T}(P,Q)$ representatives of the $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphisms a, a' and set

$$N = N_{P^x \cap P^{x'}}(Q) \qquad 8.7.2;$$

then, it is clear that x and x' belong to $\mathcal{T}(P,N)$ and that, setting $c = \tilde{x}^{P}$ and $c' = \tilde{x}'^{P}$, the inclusion $Q \subset N$ determines an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism $h : \mathsf{t}_{a,a'} \to \mathsf{t}_{c,c'}$; moreover, $\mathsf{t}_{c,c'}$ admits an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism $\hat{h} : \mathsf{t}_{c,c'} \to \mathsf{t}_{\hat{c},\hat{c}}$ to a maximal indecomposable $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object $\mathsf{t}_{\hat{c},\hat{c}}$ over $\hat{N}$; if $\hat{c}$ and $\hat{c}'$ belong to $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(P,\hat{N})$, denote by $\tilde{r}^{\hat{N}}$ the corresponding composition of natural maps

$$\mathsf{t}_{a,a'} \xrightarrow{h} \mathsf{t}_{c,c'} \xrightarrow{\hat{h}} \mathsf{t}_{\hat{c},\hat{c}'} \qquad 8.7.3.$$

Now, if $\tilde{s}^{R} : \mathsf{t}_{a,a'} \to \mathsf{t}_{b,b'}$ is an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism where $b = \tilde{y}^{P}$ and $b' = \tilde{y}'^{P}$ belong to $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}(P,R)$, there are $u, u' \in P$ such that

$$x = uys \quad \text{and} \quad x' = u'y's \qquad 8.7.4;$$

set $M = N_R(Q^{s^{-1}})$ and respectively denote by $d : M \to P$ and $d' : M \to P$ the composition of c and c' with the $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism $\hat{h}'$ determined by the inclusion $M \subset R$; it is clear that $\tilde{s}^{R}$ coincides with the composition

$$\mathsf{t}_{a,a'} \xrightarrow{h'} \mathsf{t}_{d,d'} \xrightarrow{\hat{h}'} \mathsf{t}_{b,b'} \qquad 8.7.5$$

where h' is also determined by s. In particular, since $R \subset P^{y} \cap P^{y'}$, we have

$$M^s = N_{R^s}(Q) \subset N_{P^{ys} \cap P^{y's}}(Q) = N \qquad 8.7.6$$

and thus s^{-1} induces an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism $\ell : \mathsf{t}_{d,d'} \to \mathsf{t}_{c,c'}$ fulfilling $\ell \circ h' = h$.

At this point, it follows from the induction hypothesis that there is a unique $\tilde{t}^{\hat{N}} \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{t}_{b,b'}, \mathfrak{t}_{\hat{c},\hat{c}'})$ fulfilling $\tilde{t}^{\hat{N}} \circ \hat{h}' = \hat{h} \circ \ell$ and therefore we get

$$\tilde{t}^{\hat{N}} \circ \tilde{s}^{R} = \tilde{t}^{\hat{N}} \circ \hat{h}' \circ h' = \hat{h} \circ \ell \circ h' = \hat{h} \circ h = \tilde{r}^{\hat{N}} \qquad 8.7.7;$$

this already proves that $\mathfrak{t}_{\hat{c},\hat{c}'}$ is the unique isomorphism class of maximal indecomposable $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-objects such that $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}, \mathfrak{t}_{\hat{c},\hat{c}'})$ is not empty. On the other hand, if $\tilde{t}'^{\hat{N}} : \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'} \to \mathfrak{t}_{\hat{c},\hat{c}'}$ is another $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism fulfilling $\tilde{t}'^{\hat{N}} \circ \tilde{s}^{R} = \tilde{r}^{\hat{N}}$, we have

$$\tilde{t}'^{\hat{N}} \circ \hat{h}' \circ h' = \tilde{t}'^{\hat{N}} \circ \tilde{s}^{R} = \tilde{r}^{\hat{N}} = \hat{h} \circ h = \hat{h} \circ \ell \circ h' \qquad 8.7.8$$

which clearly implies $\tilde{t}'^{\hat{N}} \circ \hat{h}' = \hat{h} \circ \ell$ and then the uniqueness of $\tilde{t}^{\hat{N}}$ forces the equality $\tilde{t}'^{\hat{N}} = \tilde{t}^{\hat{N}}$. We are done.

8.8. Let us denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module over the set of isomorphism classes $t_{a,a'}$ of *indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-objects $\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}$ — called *indecomposable elements* of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$; we say that the *indecomposable element* $t_{a,a'}$ is *maximal* whenever the corresponding *indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object $\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}$ is so. It is clear that any $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object determines a *positive* element of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ and that the *direct sum* of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-objects corresponds to the sum in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$; similarly, the *tensor* product of $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-objects induces an *associative and distributive product* in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$, so that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ becomes a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra. It is clear that the functor $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$ above induces a *surjective* $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra homomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}} \qquad 8.8.1.$$

Proposition 8.9. *For any indecomposable element* t *in* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ *there is a unique maximal indecomposable element* $\hat{t}$ *fulfilling* $\big|\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(t), \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(\hat{t})\big| \neq 0$. *Denote by* $e_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}} \to \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ *the* $\mathbb{Z}$*-module endomorphism mapping any indecomposable element* $t \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ *on* $\dfrac{\ell(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(t))}{\ell(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(\hat{t}))} \cdot \hat{t}$. *If* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(t) \notin \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}$ *then*

$$e_{\mathcal{F}}\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(t)\big) = \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}\big(e_{\mathcal{T}}(t)\big) \qquad 8.9.1$$

and, for any pair of elements r *and* s *of* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$, *we have*

$$e_{\mathcal{T}}(rs) = e_{\mathcal{T}}\big(e_{\mathcal{T}}(r) e_{\mathcal{T}}(s)\big) \qquad 8.9.2.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Ker}(e_{\mathcal{T}})$ *is a two-sided ideal of* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$.

Proof: It follows from Proposition 8.7 that, if t is the isomorphism class of an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object $\mathfrak{t}$, there is a unique isomorphism class $\hat{t}$ of *maximal indecomposable* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-objects $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}$ admitting an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism $h : \mathfrak{t} \to \hat{\mathfrak{t}}$ or, equivalently, fulfilling $\big|\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(t), \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(\hat{t})\big| \neq 0$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(\hat{t})$ is a *maximal indecomposable* element in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$, if $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(t)$ does not belong to $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{F}}$ then equality 8.9.1 follows from the very definition of $e_{\mathcal{F}}$ (cf. Proposition 5.12).

Consider a second *indecomposable* element s in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$, coming from an *indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object $\mathfrak{s}$, and the corresponding isomorphism class $\hat{s}$ of *maximal indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-objects $\hat{\mathfrak{s}}$ admitting an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism $\ell : \mathfrak{s} \to \hat{\mathfrak{s}}$; then, we also have an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism (cf. 8.5)

$$h \otimes \ell : \mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathfrak{s} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{t}} \otimes \hat{\mathfrak{s}} \qquad 8.9.3.$$

More explicitly, assume that $\mathfrak{t}$, $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}$, $\mathfrak{s}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{s}}$ respectively come from subgroups Q, $\hat{Q}$, R and $\hat{R}$ of P and from x and x' in $\mathcal{T}(P,Q)$, $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{x}'$ in $\mathcal{T}(P,\hat{Q})$, y and y' in $\mathcal{T}(P,R)$, and $\hat{y}$ and $\hat{y}'$ in $\mathcal{T}(P,\hat{R})$; actually, we may assume that $Q \subset \hat{Q}$, that $R \subset \hat{R}$, that $\hat{x} = x$, $\hat{x}' = x'$, $\hat{y} = y$ and $\hat{y}' = y'$, and that h and ℓ are both determined by the trivial element.

Then, we still may assume that the $\mathfrak{ac}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}})$-morphism

$$\begin{tikzcd}
h \otimes \ell : & Q \times_P R \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \hat{Q} \times_P \hat{R} \arrow[d, equal] \\
& \bigoplus_{w \in W} U_w & \bigoplus_{\hat{w} \in \hat{W}} \hat{U}_{\hat{w}}
\end{tikzcd} \qquad 8.9.4$$

comes from the canonical map

$$^{x'}Q \backslash P / {}^{y}R \longrightarrow {}^{x'}\hat{Q} \backslash P / {}^{y}\hat{R} \qquad 8.9.5$$

and from the family of $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{x'x''}^{\hat{U}_{\hat{w}}} \arrow[d, equal] & : & {}^{x'}Q \cap {}^{wy}R \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & {}^{x'}\hat{Q} \cap {}^{\hat{w}y}\hat{R} \arrow[d, equal] \\
(h \otimes \ell)_w & & U_w & \hat{U}_{\hat{w}}
\end{tikzcd} \qquad 8.9.6$$

where, borrowing notation from Lemma 8.3 above, we have chosen sets of representatives $\hat{W}$ for ${}^{x'}\hat{Q} \backslash P / {}^{y}\hat{R}$, X for $\hat{Q}/Q$ and Y for $\hat{R}/R$, and set

$$w = ({}^{x'}x'')^{-1} \cdot \hat{w} \cdot {}^{y}y'' \qquad 8.9.7$$

for $\hat{w}$ running over $\hat{W}$, x'' over X and y'' over Y, so that $W = ({}^{x'}X)^{-1} \cdot \hat{W} \cdot {}^{y}Y$ is a set of representatives for ${}^{x'}Q \backslash P / {}^{y}R$.

Consequently, for any $\hat{w} \in \hat{W}$, any $x'' \in X$ and any $y'' \in Y$, setting $w = ({}^{x'}x'')^{-1} \cdot \hat{w} \cdot {}^{y}y''$ and

$$\mathfrak{r}_w = \mathfrak{t}_{\widetilde{xx'^{-1}}^{P}, \widetilde{y'y^{-1}w^{-1}}^{P}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{r}_{\hat{w}} = \mathfrak{t}_{\widetilde{xx'^{-1}}^{P}, \widetilde{y'y^{-1}\hat{w}^{-1}}^{P}} \qquad 8.9.8,$$

we have the $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism $(h \otimes \ell)_w : \mathfrak{r}_w \to \mathfrak{r}_{\hat{w}}$; finally, the $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism 8.9.3 becomes

$$h \otimes \ell = \bigoplus_{w \in W} (h \otimes \ell)_w : \mathfrak{s} \otimes \mathfrak{t} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathfrak{r}_w \longrightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{s}} \otimes \hat{\mathfrak{t}} = \bigoplus_{\hat{w} \in \hat{W}} \mathfrak{r}_{\hat{w}} \qquad 8.9.9.$$

Moreover, it follows from Proposition 8.7 that, for any $\hat{w} \in \hat{W}$, if $\hat{\mathfrak{r}}_{\hat{w}}$ is a *maximal indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object admitting an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism from $\mathfrak{r}_{\hat{w}}$, it is also a *maximal indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object admitting an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism from $\mathfrak{r}_w$.

Thus, denoting by $\hat{r}_{\hat{w}}$ the isomorphism class of $\hat{\mathfrak{r}}_{\hat{w}}$, by the very definition of $e_{\mathcal{T}}$ we get

$$e_{\mathcal{T}}(st) = \sum_{w\in W} \frac{|P\colon U_w|}{\ell\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(\hat{r}_{\hat{w}})\big)}\cdot\hat{r}_{\hat{w}} \quad\text{and}\quad e_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{s}\hat{t}) = \sum_{\hat{w}\in\hat{W}} \frac{|P\colon \hat{U}_{\hat{w}}|}{\ell\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(\hat{r}_{\hat{w}})\big)}\cdot\hat{r}_{\hat{w}} \qquad 8.9.10;$$

hence, we still get

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathcal{T}}(st) &= \sum_{\hat{w}\in\hat{W}} \Big(\sum_{w\in({}^{x'}X)^{-1}\cdot\hat{w}\cdot{}^{y}Y} \frac{|P\colon U_w|}{\ell\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(\hat{r}_{\hat{w}})\big)}\Big)\cdot\hat{r}_{\hat{w}} \\ e_{\mathcal{T}}\big(e_{\mathcal{T}}(s)e_{\mathcal{T}}(t)\big) &= |\hat{Q}\colon Q||\hat{R}\colon R| \sum_{\hat{w}\in\hat{W}} \frac{|P\colon \hat{U}_{\hat{w}}|}{\ell\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{c}}(\hat{r}_{\hat{w}})\big)}\cdot\hat{r}_{\hat{w}} \end{aligned} \qquad 8.9.11;$$

moreover, for any $\hat{w} \in \hat{W}$, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w\in({}^{x'}X)^{-1}\cdot\hat{w}\cdot{}^{y}Y} |P\colon U_w| &= \sum_{w\in({}^{x'}X)^{-1}\cdot\hat{w}\cdot{}^{y}Y} \frac{|P||{}^{x'}Q\cdot w\cdot{}^{y}R|}{|Q||R|} \\ &= \frac{|P||{}^{x'}\hat{Q}\cdot\hat{w}\cdot{}^{y}\hat{R}|}{|Q||R|} = |\hat{Q}\colon Q||\hat{R}\colon R||P\colon \hat{U}_{\hat{w}}| \end{aligned} \qquad 8.9.12;$$

finally, we obtain $e_{\mathcal{T}}\big(e_{\mathcal{T}}(s)e_{\mathcal{T}}(t)\big) = e_{\mathcal{T}}(st)$. We are done.

8.10. On the other hand, we have a $\mathbb{Z}$-module homomorphism (cf. 8.1)

$$d_G : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}} \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_G \subset \mathbb{Q}G \qquad 8.10.1$$

mapping the isomorphism class $t_{a,a'}$ of an *indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object $\mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}$, where $a = \tilde{x}^Q$ and $a' = \tilde{x}'^Q$, on

$$d_G(t_{a,a'}) = \frac{|P|}{|P^{x\,x'^{-1}} \cap P|}\cdot h_P\, x\, x'^{-1} h_P = h_{Pxx'^{-1}P} \qquad 8.10.2;$$

moreover, for an *indecomposable* $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-object $\mathfrak{t}_{b,b'}$ admitting an $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism $h\colon \mathfrak{t}_{b,b'} \to \mathfrak{t}_{a,a'}$, it is easily checked that, denoting by $t_{b,b'}$ the isomorphism class of $\mathfrak{t}_{b,b'}$, we have $d_G(t_{b,b'}) = d_G(t_{a,a'})$.

Proposition 8.11. *The $\mathbb{Z}$-module homomorphism d_G induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{H}_T/\mathrm{Ker}(e_{\mathcal{T}}) \cong \hat{\mathcal{H}}_G \qquad 8.11.1$$

Proof: Since $(e_{\mathcal{T}})^2 = e_{\mathcal{T}}$ and for any *indecomposable* element t of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ we have $d_G(t) = d_G\big(e_{\mathcal{T}}(t)\big)$, it is clear that d_G induces a $\mathbb{Z}$-module homomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_T/\mathrm{Ker}(e_{\mathcal{T}}) \longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}_G \qquad 8.11.2;$$

moreover, since any $x \in G$ determines a $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphism $\tilde{x}^P : 1 \to P$, considering the *indecomposable* element $t_{\tilde{x}^P, \tilde{1}^P}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$, we have

$$d_G(t_{\tilde{x}^P, \tilde{1}^P}) = \frac{|P|}{|P^x \cap P|} \cdot h_P\, x\, h_P = h_{PxP} \qquad 8.11.3$$

and therefore the $\mathbb{Z}$-module homomorphism 8.11.2 is surjective.

On the other hand, for any subgroup Q of P and any $x, x' \in \mathcal{T}(P,Q)$, it is clear that both $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-morphisms

$$\tilde{x}^P : Q \longrightarrow P \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{x}'^P : Q \longrightarrow P \qquad 8.11.4$$

can be factorized throughout

$$\tilde{x}'^{P^{xx'^{-1}} \cap P} : Q \longrightarrow P^{xx'^{-1}} \cap P \qquad 8.11.5;$$

it easily follows that the $\mathbb{Z}$-module homomorphism 8.11.2 is injective too.

It remains to prove that d_G is also a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra homomorphism; let Q and R be subgroups of P , x and x' elements of $\mathcal{T}(P,Q)$ and y and y' elements of $\mathcal{T}(P,R)$; as above, choose a set of representatives $W \subset P$ for ${}^{x'}Q\backslash P/{}^{y}R$; then, for any $w \in W$, we have the commutative $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$-diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & P & \\
Q \arrow[ur, "\tilde{x}'^P"] & & R \arrow[ul, "\tilde{y}^P"'] \\
 & {}^{x'}Q \cap {}^{wy}R \arrow[ul, "\widetilde{x'^{-1}}^Q"] \arrow[ur, "\widetilde{(wy)^{-1}}^R"'] &
\end{tikzcd} \qquad 8.11.6$$

and therefore we clearly have (cf. 8.5)

$$\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{x}^P, \tilde{x}'^P} \otimes \mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{y}^P, \tilde{y}'^P} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathfrak{t}_{\widetilde{xx'^{-1}}^P, \widetilde{y'y^{-1}w^{-1}}^P} \qquad 8.11.7.$$

Thus, respectively denoting by $t_{\tilde{x}^P, \tilde{x}'^P}$, $t_{\tilde{y}^P, \tilde{y}'^P}$ and $t_{\widetilde{xx'^{-1}}^P, \widetilde{y'y^{-1}w^{-1}}^P}$ the isomorphism classes of the $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$-objects $\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{x}^P, \tilde{x}'^P}$, $\mathfrak{t}_{\tilde{y}^P, \tilde{y}'^P}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\widetilde{xx'^{-1}}^P, \widetilde{y'y^{-1}w^{-1}}^P}$, in the $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{T}}$ we get

$$t_{\tilde{x}^P, \tilde{x}'^P}\, t_{\tilde{y}^P, \tilde{y}'^P} = \sum_{w \in W} t_{\widetilde{xx'^{-1}}^P, \widetilde{y'y^{-1}w^{-1}}^P} \qquad 8.11.8.$$

and therefore we have

$$d_G(t_{\tilde{x}^P, \tilde{x}'^P}\, t_{\tilde{y}^P, \tilde{y}'^P}) = \sum_{w \in W} h_{P\, xx'^{-1}wyy'^{-1}P} \qquad 8.11.9.$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$d_G(t_{\tilde{x}^P,\tilde{x}'^P})\, d_G(t_{\tilde{y}^P,\tilde{y}'^P}) = h_{Pxx'^{-1}P}\, h_{Pyy'^{-1}P} = \frac{1}{|P|}\cdot \sum_{z''\in Pxx'^{-1}Pyy'^{-1}P} z'' \qquad 8.11.10;$$

moreover, we still have $P = \bigsqcup_{w\in W} {}^{x'}Q\cdot w\cdot {}^{y}R$ and, for any $u \in Q$ and $v \in R$, we get

$$x\,x'^{-1}({}^{x'}u\,w\,{}^{y}v)\,y\,y'^{-1} = {}^{x}u\,(x\,x'^{-1}w\,y\,y'^{-1})\,{}^{y'}v \qquad 8.11.11$$

where ${}^{x}u$ and ${}^{y'}v$ still belong to P, so that

$$h_P\,{}^{x}u = h_P \quad \text{and} \quad h_P\,{}^{y'}v = h_P \qquad 8.11.12.$$

In conclusion, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(t_{\tilde{x}^P,\tilde{x}'^P})\, d_G(t_{\tilde{y}^P,\tilde{y}'^P}) &= \frac{1}{|P|}\cdot \sum_{w\in W}\ \sum_{z''\in Pxx'^{-1}wyy'^{-1}P} z'' \\ &= \sum_{w\in W} h_{P\,xx'^{-1}wyy'^{-1}P} \qquad 8.11.13. \\ &= d_G(t_{\tilde{x}^P,\tilde{x}'^P}\, t_{\tilde{y}^P,\tilde{y}'^P}) \end{aligned}$$

We are done.

Knowledgment. This paper would not have emerged without our knowledge of the work *Saturated fusion systems as idempotents in the double Burnside ring* by Kari Ragnarsson and Radu Stancu [6]. Our effort to understand the meaning of the *Frobenius condition* they discovered has led us to the *Hecke algebra* above.

References

[1] Jon Alperin, *Sylow intersections and fusion*, Journal of Algebra, 1(1964), 110-113.

[2] James Green, *Functors on categories of finite group representations*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, 37(1985), 265-298.

[3] Lluís Puig, *Structure locale dans les groupes finis*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, Mémoire N^o 47(1976)

[4] Lluís Puig, *"Frobenius categories versus Brauer blocks"*, Progress in Math. 274(2009), Birkhäuser, Basel.

[5] Kari Ragnarsson, *Classifying spectra of saturated fusion systems*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology 6 (2006), 195-252

[6] Kari Ragnarsson and Radu Stancu, *Saturated fusion systems as idempotents in the double Burnside ring*, preprint